### 11 Rethinking Repair

Steven J. Jackson

"There is a crack in everything. That's how the light gets in."

—Leonard Cohen, Anthem<sup>1</sup>

What world does contemporary information technology inhabit? Is it the imaginary nineteenth-century world of progress and advance, novelty and invention, open frontiers and endless development? Or the twenty-first-century world of risk and uncertainty, growth and decay, and fragmentation, dissolution, and breakdown?

This chapter is an exercise in broken world thinking. It asks what happens when we take erosion, breakdown, and decay, rather than novelty, growth, and progress, as our starting points in thinking through the nature, use, and effects of information technology and new media. Broken world thinking is both normative and ontological, in the sense that it makes claims about the nature of technology and its relationship to broader social worlds, some of which may differ from deep-rooted cultural assumptions. But it is also empirical and methodological, an argument and provocation toward doing new and different kinds of research, and new and different kinds of politics, in media and technology studies today.

There are two basic components of the approach advocated here. The first is an appreciation of the real limits and fragility of the worlds we inhabit—natural, social, and technological—and a recognition that many of the stories and orders of modernity (or whatever else we choose to call the past two-hundred-odd years of euro-centered human history) are in process of coming apart, perhaps to be replaced by new and better stories and orders, but perhaps not. We know, now irrefutably, that the natural systems we have long lived within and relied on have been altered beyond

return (though not necessarily beyond repair, in the sense articulated here); by any reasonable expectation, we are now living, as Bill McKibben (2010) has argued, on a sort of Earth 2.0 in which many of the old socionatural bets are off. The instabilities of the postwar economic order and the social relations attendant upon it have recently come home to roost (as many of us are reminded as we watch friends, neighbors, and family members fall out of the hopes, comforts, and securities of the middle class). The form and possibility of the "modern infrastructural ideal" (Graham and Marvin 2001) is increasingly under threat, as cracks (sometimes literal ones) show up in our bridges, our highways, our airports, and the nets of our social welfare systems. For these and other reasons, broken world thinking asserts that breakdown, dissolution, and change, rather than innovation, development, or design as conventionally practiced and thought about are the key themes and problems facing new media and technology scholarship today.

Attached to this, however, comes a second and more hopeful approach: namely, a deep wonder and appreciation for the ongoing activities by which stability (such as it is) is maintained, the subtle arts of repair by which rich and robust lives are sustained against the weight of centrifugal odds, and how sociotechnical forms and infrastructures, large and small, get not only broken but *restored*, one not-so-metaphoric brick at a time. On this road we travel the path from despair to admiration, even reverence, and are confronted above all by the remarkable resilience, creativity, and sheer magnitude of the work represented in the ongoing maintenance and reproduction of established order.

Here, then, are two radically different forces and realities. On one hand, a fractal world, a centrifugal world, an always-almost-falling-apart world. On the other, a world in constant process of fixing and reinvention, reconfiguring and reassembling into new combinations and new possibilities—a topic of both hope and concern. It is a world of pain and possibility, creativity and destruction, innovation and the worst excesses of leftover habit and power.

The fulcrum of these two worlds is *repair*: the subtle acts of care by which order and meaning in complex sociotechnical systems are maintained and transformed, human value is preserved and extended, and the complicated work of fitting to the varied circumstances of organizations, systems, and lives is accomplished. Repair in this connotation has a literal and material dimension, filled with immediate questions: Who fixes the devices and

systems we "seamlessly" use? Who maintains the infrastructures within and against which our lives unfold? But it also speaks directly to "the social," if we still choose to cut the world in this way: how are *human* orders broken and restored (and again, who does this work)?

Some of these effects are captured in the language of "articulation work" so usefully described by Susan Leigh Star and Anselm Strauss (1999). Articulation is about fit, or more precisely, the art of fitting, the myriad (often invisible) activities that enable and sustain even the most seemingly natural or automatic forms of order in the world. Articulation supports the smooth interaction of parts within complex sociotechnical wholes, adjusting and calibrating each to each. In building connections, it builds meaning and identity, sorting out ontologies on the fly rather than mixing and matching between fixed and stable entities. Articulation lives first and foremost in practice, not representation; as its proper etymology suggests, it's a creature of bones, not words. When articulation fails, systems seize up, and our sociotechnical worlds become stiff, arthritic, unworkable.

The same broad features characterize the work of repair—itself a facet or form of articulation work (and vice versa). Repair is about space and function—the extension or safeguarding of capabilities in danger of decay. But it is also an inescapably timely phenomenon, bridging past and future in distinctive and sometimes surprising ways. Repair inherits an old and layered world, making history but not in the circumstances of its choosing. It accounts for the durability of the old, but also the appearance of the new (a different way of approaching the problem of innovation, as will be discussed: behind and prior to the origin stands the fix). Above all, repair occupies and constitutes an *aftermath*, growing at the margins, breakpoints, and interstices of complex sociotechnical systems as they creak, flex, and bend their way through time. It fills in the moment of hope and fear in which bridges from old worlds to new worlds are built, and the continuity of order, value, and meaning gets woven, one tenuous thread at a time. And it does all this quietly, humbly, and all the time.

So the world is always breaking; it's in its nature to break. That breaking is generative and productive, in ways that will be sketched later in this chapter. It is also consequential, and many of the things we care about as media and technology scholars turn out to be implicated in precisely such moments. And it is always being recuperated and reconstituted through repair. The question then becomes what we make of these facts, and what we do next.

### Shipbreaking

One place to begin is the following:



**Figure 11.1** Edward Burtynsky, *Shipbreaking #4.*<sup>2</sup>

The image, *Shipbreaking #4*, comes from photographer Edward Burtynsky's beautiful and evocative series on the shipbreaking industry of Bangladesh. As the series proceeds, we follow Burtynsky's lens through the amazing process by which aging ocean vessels (the bone and sinew of globalization) are beached, stripped, and dismantled; a parallel series, *Ship Recycling*, follows the ghostly afterlife of these ships, as their fragments get dispersed and repurposed through a variety of local markets. Or if the work of the shipbreakers seems too exotic or obscure, consider any of the following: the e-waste scavengers who reclaim precious metals, often under horrendous and unregulated conditions, from processors, monitors, printers, and cell phones in landfills around the world (Burrell 2012); the ubiquitous cell-phone repair stands that now show up (alongside food stalls, bicycle repair,

and jerry-can gas operations) as regular features of roadside commerce in sub-Saharan Africa and other developing countries (Jackson, Pompe, and Krieshok 2011, 2012); or the work of the Wikipedia editors, crafting, honing, and maintaining entries against error, ambiguity, and vandalism.

Burtynsky's photos and the additional examples given earlier tell us important things about the themes of breakdown, maintenance, and repair raised here. The first is the extent to which such work is rendered invisible under our normal modes of picturing and theorizing technology. Burtynsky's photos share, in exquisite detail, a side or moment of technological life that goes for the most part unrecognized. On one level, these activities are entirely routine, a normal and inevitable feature of technology's course in the world. Things are made, and things fall apart. Objects are produced, and objects are discarded. Technologies are developed, and technologies fade into history, leaving rarely more than a trace behind. But our modes of academic and popular representation around these two moments of technological life are deeply unbalanced. If we are to understand maintenance, repair, and technology more broadly, scenes such as Burtynsky's must be made empirically and conceptually familiar, even normal. This may require some effort of the analytic imagination, trained as we have been in technology and the social sciences by the primacy of production and design. It may help then to be reminded of the sheer weight and value that such activities represent. By some estimates, 80 percent of the world's commercial ocean fleets end up on the beaches of Bangladesh or in neighboring India in this way. And 80 percent of the domestic steel industry in Bangladesh (which has no naturally occurring ore deposits) is sourced in this way. However far from a Western and productivist imagination, these activities are anything but marginal.

Burtynsky also reminds us of the consequences and distributions of breakdown and repair—a point of significance for the discussions of power and knowledge that follow. These are not, in almost every case, Bangladeshi ships coming home to a final resting spot, nor are the workers on these beaches attached or supported (save by this one connection) to the wider worlds of trade and commerce that these ships have come from. (That, indeed, is why the ships come *here*: to be disassembled and repurposed free of the responsibilities and entanglements that would necessarily follow in other places.) Because we don't see it, it is easy to forget that the forms of breakdown and repair practiced on the beaches of Bangladesh come at the end of a complex and consequential distribution, with deep and troubled ties to global economic flows and structures; as Doreen Massey (1994) reminds and Burtynsky affirms, some are more on the receiving end of globalization than others.

Finally, the beautiful ebb and flow of Burtynsky's images remind us that while their modern flavor and intensities may vary, activities such as this are ancient, even timeless ones, and have always been part of the story of technology, humans, and the sea. Activities such as this form part of the secret history of breakdown, maintenance, and repair that has always sustained (but invisibly) the higher profile stories of exploration, empire, and globalization that shipping, quite literally, has carried. This is a point with deep and surprisingly invisible roots. Ask yourself this: for all the representations of great ships in history you've encountered, at what times and in what forms have you seen such vessels? In almost every instance it will be at moments of birth, or at the heights of strength and glory: the christening before the maiden voyage, rounding the cape, facing down the Spanish fleet, and so on. But what happens (or happened) to these ships? Save for the special cases of hostile sinking, shipwreck, or honorable retirement and preservation, it was this: they were disassembled, repurposed, stripped, and turned into other things, in sites and locations like the shipbreaking beaches of Bangladesh that have dropped out of history and imagination.

This chapter argues that breakdown, maintenance, and repair constitute crucial but vastly understudied sites or moments within the worlds of new media and technology today. It argues that much of what we care about as media and technology scholars is implicated or enacted in exactly such moments, and that the productivist bias of the field obscures this fact. It asks how we might begin to think differently around the phenomena of breakdown, maintenance, and repair, and how we might use this difference to launch other and more hopeful programs of research. And it argues for the contributions that broken world thinking and a repair-centered ethics might make to the project of defining an appropriate moral and practical stance vis-à-vis the world of media and technology today.

### **Repair and Innovation**

At first glance, nothing could seem farther apart than the apparently separate questions of innovation and repair. Innovation, in the dominant coding, comes first: at the start of the technology chain, in moments of quasi-mythical origination, a creature of garage-turned-corporate engineers, operating with or without the benefits of market research and user experience operations. Repair comes later, when screens and buttons fail, firmware is corrupted, and the iPhone gets shipped back to wherever iPhones come from. (We generally prefer to think not at all of what happens *after* such moments, in the piles of e-junk accumulated in attics and landfills

or shipped overseas to Africa or Asia.) In scientific computation and collaboration, the language of innovation is generally reserved for new and computationally intensive "bright and shiny tools," while repair tends to disappear altogether, or at best is relegated to the mostly neglected story of people (researchers, information managers, beleaguered field technicians) working to fit such artifacts to the sticky realities of field-level practices and needs. In both cases, dominant productivist imaginings of technology locate innovation, with its unassailable standing, cultural cachet, and valorized economic value, at the top of some change or process, while repair lies somewhere else: lower, later, or after innovation in process and worth.

But this is a false and partial representation of how worlds of technology actually work, when they work. In practice, there's nothing unassailable about the contribution that innovation (in this narrow sense) makes. Against fans and critics of design alike, innovation rarely if ever inheres in moments of origination, passing unproblematically into the bodies of the objects and practices such work informs. For this reason, the efficacy of innovation in the world is limited—until extended, sustained, and completed in repair. The remarkable qualities and energies that innovation names and unleashes—creativity, invention, imagination, and artfulness—are therefore distributed more broadly in the technology landscape than our dominant discourses of innovation and the systems of economic, professional, and social value built around them are keen to acknowledge. They also often depend, as the standpoint discussion to follow will explore, on precisely the kinds of breakdowns charted here. From this perspective, worlds of maintenance and repair and the instances of breakdown that occasion them are not separate or alternative to innovation, but sites for some of its most interesting and consequential operations.

For the same basic reasons, repair—perhaps especially under conditions of modern industrial production—may constitute one of our most significant sites and sources of sociotechnical difference. Whether at the level of national "technological styles" (Hughes 1987) that shape and differentiate the nature of "same" technologies in different national contexts, or the simple but consequential variations by which industrial commodities are brought into, enlivened, and sustained within the circumstances of individual homes and lives, repair may constitute an important engine by which technological difference is produced and fit is accomplished. It may also be the case that breakdown and repair are very often the aspects or portions of broader technological systems that show the most variation across national, cultural, or other comparative contexts, as a growing body of work on the distinctive repair ecologies of the developing world

has begun to demonstrate (see, for example, Jackson, Pompe, and Krieshok 2012; Burrell 2012). To repurpose Tolstoy, "All working technologies are alike. All broken technologies are broken in their own way."<sup>3</sup>

How might we begin to reverse this dominant view, and reimagine or better recognize the forms of innovation, difference, and creativity embedded in repair? Burtynsky once again gets us started. One of the more impressive features of Burtynsky's series and the cultural practices it references is the apparent technological simplicity with which Bangladeshi shipbreaking is conducted. Confronted with the bewildering size and array of a modern ocean freighter (and in sharp contrast to the technological conditions surrounding its production), teams of workers armed with nothing more sophisticated than a blowtorch are able to separate, dismantle, and repurpose a ship and its constituent parts in a matter of weeks. Under anything other than the most stubborn of productivist imaginations, this activity can only appear as a remarkable feat of innovation, and the site of a remarkable and distributed expertise.

Or, to take an example closer to home, consider the Internet. As explored by historians like Janet Abbate (1999). the incredible development of the network form and capacity of the early Internet—surely one of the central innovation stories of our day—did not follow anything like the smooth or automatic curve that production-driven or law-like representations of IT growth have suggested (think here of the various "laws"-Moore's, Kryder's, Butter's, and so on—that have been offered to explain the explosive growth of computational processing, storage, and network transmission capacities). Instead, as Abbate documents, the Internet grew by breaking, bumping up against the limits of existing protocols and practices and working around them, leaving behind almost by accident some of the properties that we now enumerate as key and distinctive virtues of the Internet as an infrastructural form. Far from being a generalized cultural tendency or a property of individual minds, innovation in the technology space, as in culture more generally, is therefore organized around problems. This makes innovation simultaneously specific and in some measure collective in nature. And its engine is breakdown and repair.

Such starting points might lead us toward new and alternative programs of empirical research in the technology and innovation space, with special attention to the existence, dynamics, and tensions of innovation beyond moments of ideation, design, and up-front adoption. For example, it is telling that some of the most consequential work emerging from early ethnographic work in the IT design and human–computer interaction fields—some of it conducted in industrial research labs—has centered

on repair (Suchman 1987, Orr 1996). The same broad interest has begun to show in other fields ranging from sociology (Henke 2000, Graham and Thrift 2007) and architecture (Brand 1994), to environmental planning (Hetherington 2004) and engineering (Petroski 2006). My own work with collaborators in this space (Jackson, Pompe, and Krieshok 2011, 2012) has explored the distinctively different landscapes of technology repair that characterize the extension of information technology infrastructure in sub-Saharan Africa. If the broad sense of this chapter is correct, such early empirical forays only begin to scratch the surface of the possibilities and forms of creativity, innovation, and difference to be found in the work of repair.

### Knowledge/Power and Repair

Thorny questions of knowledge and power have, since Foucault (1980) at least, formed a crucial strand in our thinking about the nature and status of technology in social life. We know, from experience and long traditions of work in the social sciences, that questions of visibility and invisibility may be intimately linked to power. The ability to limit or manage external visibility of our lives and work, or conversely to exert the force of our gaze on others, has long been recognized as a crucial site for the operation of power in institutions, in workplaces, and in culture in general. At the same time, visibility may be tied crucially to systems of reward and recognition: think only of the differential visibility of faculty and nighttime cleaning staff on American university campuses and its relation to the highly skewed distributions of income that follow.

But a second set of links among visibility, power, and knowledge in the context of maintenance and repair needs to be considered, one with perhaps special relevance to the analytic and methodological interests that frame this volume. The question is this: can repair sites and repair actors claim special insight or knowledge, by virtue of their positioning vis-àvis the worlds of technology they engage? Can breakdown, maintenance, and repair confer special epistemic advantage in our thinking about technology? Can the fixer know and see different things—indeed, different worlds—than the better-known figures of "designer" or "user"? Following on the claims of Hegelian, Marxian, and feminist theorists, can we identify anything like a standpoint epistemology of repair?

The question has deep and suggestive roots. Social theorists of multiple stripes have acknowledged the special place of breakdown in the opening to thought of heretofore hidden dynamics, processes, and powers. Take 230 Steven J. Jackson

Heidegger's notion of "tool-being," built around the central distinction between tools that are "ready-to-hand" versus "present-at-hand" (Heidegger [1977] 2008b; see also Harman 2002). In the former state, technologies function as anticipated, do and stay where they're supposed to, and therefore sink below the level of conscious reflection. In the latter, the material world resists, obstructs, or frustrates action, and therefore calls attention to itself (precisely because we must now work to figure out and overcome barriers in our no-longer seamless world). The same basic insight informs American pragmatist theories of mind and consciousness. For theorists like James ([1907] 2000) and Dewey (1896, 1922), the possibility of consciousness begins where habit and routine fail and thought is called on to take over for rote or reflexive action. Broadly parallel insights by Vygotsky (1962) and subsequent generations of activity theorists position breakdowns or gaps (for example, the crucial distance between learner and teacher that constitutes a generative "zone of proximal development") not as barriers or irreducible divides, but rather dynamic resources and engines for change. It is therefore precisely in moments of breakdown that we learn to see and engage our technologies in new and sometimes surprising ways. The same broad principle has been taken up in more recent work in new media and technology studies, for example, Bowker and Star's (1999) observation that technologies and practices which rise (or sink) to the level of infrastructure are frequently invisible until breakdown, and that special acts and moments of "infrastructural inversion" may be required to call these phenomena and their associated politics back to the center of thought and action.

Such insights call attention to the world-disclosing properties of breakdown, and the distinct epistemic advantages that can follow from moving repair (and repair workers) to the center of our thinking about new media and technology today. Breakdown disturbs and sets in motion worlds of possibility that disappear under the stable or accomplished form of the artifact. Thus a standpoint epistemology of repair may offer a different response to the longstanding problem of commodity fetishism, by which the meaning and politics of technology are obscured, stripped, and neutered, and the fiction of separate "social" and "technological" worlds is produced. If Marxism seeks to disrupt the commodity fiction of the object by connecting it backward to moments of origin, discovering the congealed forms of human labor, power and interests that are built into objects at their moment of production, broken world thinking draws our attention around the sociality of objects forward, into the ongoing forms of labor, power, and interest—neither dead nor congealed—that underpin the ongoing survival of things as objects in the world. In doing so, it may hold up a

clear and revealing light to relations of value and order that are sometimes made invisible under the smooth functioning of complex sociotechnical systems.

### Repair, Maintenance, and the Ethics of Care

Finally, foregrounding maintenance and repair as an aspect of technological work invites not only new functional but also *moral* relations to the world of technology. It references what is in fact a very old but routinely forgotten relationship of humans to things in the world: namely, an ethics of mutual care and responsibility.

An important source for this thinking comes from the world of feminist scholarship, in particular an interrelated body of work emerging from the 1970s through the 1990s across the fields of ethics, sociology, and political theory. Against deontological theories of truth and ethics and the virtues of impartiality and universalism such theories upheld (think Rawls's [1971]) "veil of ignorance" and the theory of justice that was built on it), this body of work sought its grounding in the strength, variance, and responsibility of interhuman relations. From this perspective, to be human is to bear certain burdens of ethical dependence and responsibility vis-à-vis a world of other ethical actors. Those burdens are shaped and discharged in specific rather than categorical relations. Running through and beneath the whole system is an "ethics of care," predicated on a baseline moral relationship that linked, bound, and shaped ethical responsibility in chains of mutual entanglement and dependency. This ethics constitutes the basis of political claims making, and the condition of possibility for a collective moral life (Gilligan 1982). It also establishes the moral baseline or starting point from which we might begin to recognize and discharge our moral responsibilities in the world—vis-à-vis other ethical actors, but also an expansionary world of things that we, individually and collectively, are increasingly implicated in producing and consuming.

But why should we care about care? For the purposes of understanding media and technology—how it's produced, what it does, what powers and freedoms it opens up and forecloses—the language of care does double work. As elaborated here, it speaks to the ongoing work of maintaining media artifacts, systems, and technologies; it is itself a form of tailoring, appropriation, and resistance (to use language more commonly appearing in media and technology scholarship). But it also opens up an important moral and political terrain. To care for something (an animal, a child, a sick relative, or a technological system) is to bear and affirm a moral relation to

it. For material artifacts, this goes beyond the instrumental or functional relations that usually characterize the attachments between people and things. Care brings the worlds of action and meaning back together, and reconnects the necessary work of maintenance with the forms of attachment that so often (but invisibly, at least to analysts) sustain it. We care because we care.

Thus, the ethics of repair admits of a possibility denied or forgotten by both the crude functionalism of the technology field and a more traditionally humanist ethics (which has mostly ignored technology anyway). What if we care about our technologies, and do so in more than a trivial way? This feature or property has sometimes been extended to technologies in the past, but usually only ones that come out of deep folk or craft traditions, and rarely the products of a modern industrial culture. Heidegger's writings, for example, are full of such distinctions between modern and premodern technologies (centered on such canonical figures as bridges and jugs), which confront and engage the world in radically different ways: for modern technologies, after the manner of "testing" ([1977] 2008b); for folk and craft devices, under a gentler and more supple form of "gathering" ([1977] 2008a). Richard Sennett (2009) has written beautifully of traditions of craft and the special relationship between worker and the object of labor this has historically produced. The decline of craft traditions—which Sennett extends in principle to modern pursuits as varied as medicine and computer programming, parenting and citizenship—constitutes a significant weakening of our connection to the worlds of goods and work we inhabit today.

Some of the best and most intriguing work in new media and technology studies today has begun to challenge and question this assumption, for example Sherry Turkle's (2007) insistence on the deep and meaningful relations between humans and "evocative objects;" Lucy Suchman's (2006) attention to "affiliative objects" and the work of human–machine reconfiguration at the heart of much information research and technology today; N. Katherine Hayles's (1999) posthumanist exploration of the deep and growing entanglements between the worlds of people and of things in robotics and artificial intelligence; and Bruno Latour's (2004) and Donna Haraway's (1991) alternative treatments of cyborg or collectivist ontologies, presenting ways of thinking that *don't* rest on the presumption of a bright red line between people and things running through our lives and politics.

The tricky proposition for media and technology studies posed by broken world thinking and other posthumanist approaches is this: is it possible to love, and love deeply, a world of things? Can we bear a substantive ethical, even moral, relationship to categories of objects long consigned to a realm of thin functionalism (a mistake that many of the dominant languages of technology research and design—"usability," "affordances," and so on—tends to reify)? What if we can build new and different forms of solidarity with our objects (and they with us)? And what if, beneath the nose of scholarship, this is what we do every day?

### **How to Fix Technology Studies**

These three themes—innovation, knowledge/power, and the ethics of care—constitute missing elements or dimensions of the way we in new media and technology studies typically think about breakdown, maintenance, and repair (when we think about it at all). But they also raise new challenges and opportunities in the study of technology more generally, some of which connect to the very old problem of how to frame a more humane and progressive politics of technology.

We should begin by guarding against the twin analytic dangers of nostalgia and heroism, two properties that have often challenged left-leaning and progressive thinking about technology in the past. To begin, while broken world thinking calls special attention to the work by which technologies and practices are sustained in the world, it has no automatic preference for stasis over change—another good reason for putting innovation (rather than preservation or conservation) front and center in our discussions of maintenance and repair. Nor does it hearken back to a lost age of harmony and balance in our relationships with technology. While it's true that different technologies emerge from and instantiate different regimes of maintenance and repair, the form of broken world thinking advocated here rejects the idea of making this the basis for large-scale distinctions between, for example, modern and premodern technologies (one of the places where the broken world thinking advocated here departs from Heidegger [1977] [2008b] and later-twentieth-century critical theorists of technology, from Marcuse [1964] to Ellul [1964]). By the same token, repair is not always heroic or directed toward noble ends, and may function as much in defense as in resistance to antidemocratic and antihumanist projects. One thinks here of the remarkable recuperative routines and strategies by which the atrocities of Nazi ambition and the Nazi war machine were normalized and sustained within the production systems and civil society of war-time Germany (Arendt 1963, Goldhagen 1996).

Such cautionary notes aside, broken world thinking offers fresh potential to both longstanding and emergent approaches in media and technology

studies today. First, and if nothing else, it can help us think beyond the remarkably restricted and usually binary sets of actors that have dominated media and technology studies to date: senders and receivers, producers and consumers, designers and users. The world of technology is more complex and less orderly than that, full of dynamics, tensions, and powers that neat binary distinctions—and the systems of explanation built on them—struggle to explain. Modes of thought that expand our cast of characters, including but certainly not limited to the breakers, fixers, and maintainers highlighted here, are therefore necessary and promising additions to the field.

Second, attention to maintenance and repair may help to redirect our gaze from moments of production to moments of sustainability and the myriad forms of activity by which the shape, standing, and meaning of objects in the world is produced and sustained—a feature especially valuable in a field too often occupied with the shock of the new. More robust theoretical and empirical engagement with maintenance and repair can help remedy the productivist bias that persists in some of the field's central approaches: the social construction of technology, for example, with its emphasis on up-front moments of stabilization and path dependency (Bijker 1997, Hughes 1987); studies of technology or network diffusion (Rogers [1962] 2003), with their emphasis on the spread of technology or messages with arguably less regard for local variations and staying power in the sites they travel to; or concerns with media or technological appropriation (Silverstone 1994) which still tend to emphasize early moments of encounter and domestication in the encounter between technology and social groups. Robust attention to maintenance and repair work may complement and extend the core research interests of any and all of these programs, and is certainly not opposed in spirit or principle to any; indeed, insofar as broken world thinking adds weight to the argument against technology's autonomy and self-sufficiency, it extends the core move toward the socialization of knowledge and technology shared by each.

Third, maintenance and repair may have particular contributions to make to our thinking around the *timeliness* of technology—something we have, as a field, been remarkably bad at to date. Some of the reasons for this are obvious and already referenced: the privileging of design and production, emphasis on moments of initial encounter, and general predilections for the new. Some are more subtle and perhaps difficult to address: the differential pull factor of student, colleague, and sometimes funder interest as exerted on new as opposed to old technologies ("That's so 2009!"); the frequent obscurity and ephemerality of maintenance and repair work,

which leaves few of the documentary or statistical traces that systems of production do; and the deep methodological challenges of conceptualizing and studying time in general. Setting aside such challenges, bringing maintenance and repair work to the fore in our thinking about technology may help to extend and fill out this temporal story, offering new insights and approaches to the understanding of technology as a timely or rhythmic phenomenon (Jackson et al. 2011).

Fourth, recentering maintenance and repair may help with the necessary project of building bridges to new and adjacent fields whose methods, insights, and modes of work hold great promise to complement and enrich our own (and vice versa). This includes growing or prospective interfaces with fields like material culture (Miller 2005); craft studies (Sennett 2009; McCullough 1998); technology for development; and the diffuse body of work around sustainability studies. It may also help build new analytic connections to cultural phenomena—maker and DIY communities, craft and slow food movements, and cultural forms from fan fiction to the steampunk movement—that feature breaking, maintenance, and repair as central sites of activity and meaning.

Finally, moving maintenance and repair back to the center of thinking around media and technology may help to develop deeper and richer stories of relationality to the technological artifacts and systems that surround us, positioning the world of things as an active component and partner in the ongoing project of building more humane, just, and sustainable collectives. In June 2012 controversy erupted around the design of the retina display on Apple's newly redesigned MacBook Pro computer. As early reviews enthused and critics conceded, the new MacBook Pro was a functionally and aesthetically elegant machine, continuing recent trends in Apple design toward simple, compact, and seamless functionality predicated on the tight control and integration of hardware and software elements. It was also, as Kyle Wiens of iFixit.org<sup>4</sup> reported in a review for *Wired* magazine, "the least repairable laptop we've ever taken apart":

Unlike the previous model, the display is fused to the glass, which means replacing the LCD requires buying an expensive display assembly. The RAM is now soldered to the logic board—making future memory upgrades impossible. And the battery is glued to the case, requiring customers to mail their laptop to Apple every so often for a \$200 replacement. The design may well be comprised of "highly recyclable aluminum and glass"—but my friends in the electronics recycling industry tell me they have no way of recycling aluminum that has glass glued to it like Apple did with both this machine and the recent iPad.

Defenders of the new machine and broadly similar design choices in Apple's MacBook Air series, the iPad, and a host of industry competitors quickly responded. Some argued that repairability was an increasingly outmoded virtue in electronics and in any case a necessary victim of the trend toward ever more compact and mobile design. Others noted that electronics repair was irrelevant to the vast majority of consumers anyway, who were more inclined to throw away than repair even the older and more fixable generation of personal computers. Still others argued that the debate about repair was moot, since consumers had effectively voted with their feet and wallets, consistently opting for size and functionality over more upgradable and fixable designs.

But the controversy did not end here. Over the long July 4th weekend of 2012, Apple quietly announced its intention to withdraw thirty-nine of its products from the Electronic Product Environmental Assessment Tool (EPEAT), a green ratings system supported by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and leading firms in the electronics industry (including Apple itself), arguing that "[Apple's] design direction was no longer consistent with EPEAT requirements," including the ratings system's "easy to disassemble using common tools" requirement. Reaction was swift. Users on Apple fan sites registered their dismay, noting Apple's past record of green-friendly innovations and the perceived inconsistency between the company's brand image and the decision to withdraw from EPEAT. Municipal governments, universities, and other institutional buyers that had incorporated EPEAT standards into their procurement process announced their decision to review all Apple purchases. Bloggers and technology news sites like ArsTechnica and Slashdot covered the story extensively, fanning and amplifying the initial controversy. On July 13, 2012, Apple rescinded its decision, announcing its intention to rejoin and renew its relationship with EPEAT. In an open letter on the Apple website, Senior Vice-President of Hardware Manufacturing Bob Mansfield reaffirmed Apple's past and continuing accomplishments in energy efficiency and the move away from toxic chemicals like brominated flame retardants (BFRs) and polyvinyl chloride (PVC), and vowed to work with EPEAT to update and extend green standards and practices in the electronics and computing industries.

### Conclusion: Learning from Benjamin

One of the inspirations and patron saints for this project is the great German literary critic and social theorist, Walter Benjamin. Living through the dying days of the Weimar Republic and the rise of fascism (a force that would

eventually destroy him), Benjamin nevertheless produced some of the gentlest, most inspiring, and most deeply humanistic criticism of a period not known for those virtues. In his peculiar, fragmentary, archival, and recuperative mode of working (best exemplified in the fragments of his brilliant but unfinished "Arcades" project [Benjamin 1999]), Benjamin also provides one possible example of a broken world methodology, or what scholarly work predicated on the assumptions and conditions of broken world thinking might look like. This sensibility is further reflected in his choice of historical subjects: not princes, leaders, and the products of high culture, but the detritus of nineteenth-century commercialism, the layabouts and ragpickers with whom Benjamin periodically aligns his own work.<sup>5</sup>

Finally, Benjamin leaves us some of modernity's most arresting images. My favorite, and the one which best captures the heart of broken world thinking, starts with a reflection on the 1920 Paul Klee painting *Angelus Novus* (see figure 11.2). Here, from a piece titled "Theses on the Philosophy of History," is Benjamin's commentary on the work:

A Klee painting named "Angelus Novus" shows an angel looking as though he is about to move away from something he is fixedly contemplating. His eyes are staring, his mouth is open, his wings are spread. This is how one pictures the angel of history. His face is turned toward the past. Where we perceive a chain of events, he sees one single catastrophe which keeps piling wreckage upon wreckage and hurls it in front of his feet. The angel would like to stay, awaken the dead, and make whole what has been smashed. But a storm is blowing from Paradise; it has got caught in his wings with such violence that the angel can no longer close them. This storm irresistibly propels him into the future to which his back is turned, while the pile of debris before him grows skyward. This storm is what we call progress. (1969, 257–258)

This remains one of our most vivid and shocking indictments of a progressivist history. In place of a grand historical march toward freedom or salvation, or the forward and certain momentum of Marxian dialectics, we are left with this: a catastrophe, blowing blindly backward into the future, an image made all the more horrific by the poignancy of the angel's frustrated desire "to stay, awaken the dead, and make whole what has been smashed."

But this is not where Benjamin concludes. In the end, Benjamin winds up in the arcades of nineteenth-century Paris, studying poets and ragpickers, and finding grounds for resilience and hope. In the aftermath of history and its lineage of wreckage and debris, he quietly goes about the business of collecting and recuperating the world around him.

So: do we live in late modernity, postmodernity, alternative modernity, or liquid modernity? Knowledge societies, information societies, network



**Figure 11.2**Paul Klee's painting *Angelus Novus*.

societies, or risk societies? New media, old media, dead media, or hypermedia? The world of information, the world of search, the world of networks, or the world of big data?

The answer is simple: like every generation before, we live in the aftermath.

### Acknowledgments

I wish to thank Geof Bowker, Matthew Stahl, Tarleton Gillespie, Chris Kelty, Greg Downey, John King, Jonathan Grudin, and the participants in Bonnie Nardi's Spring 2012 Collapse Computing class at the University of California, Irvine, for their helpful comments on earlier drafts of this work.

### **Notes**

- 1. *Anthem.* Written by: Leonard Cohen ©1992 Stranger Music Inc. All rights administered by Sony/ATV Music Publishing LLC, 8 Music Square West, Nashville, TN, 37203. All rights reserved. Used by permission.
- 2. Photo © Edward Burtynsky, represented by Nicholas Metivier, Toronto / Howard Greenberg and Bryce Wolkowitz, New York. Images from the *Shipbreaking* and *Ship Recycling* series are available at http://www.edwardburtynsky.com/.
- 3. See Tolstoy's opening lines to *Anna Karenina* (1886): "All happy families are alike. All unhappy families are unhappy in their own way."
- 4. This is a nonprofit organization dedicated to technology repair, recycling, and consumer education whose activities include Consumer Reports®-style "teardowns" of leading products in the computing and consumer electronics sectors. See http://ifixit.org, accessed April 22, 2013.
- 5. Quoting the French edition of Baudelaire's *Oeuvres*, volume 1, Benjamin writes, "'Here we have a man whose job it is to gather the day's refuse in the capital. Everything that the big city has thrown away, everything it has lost, everything it has scorned, everything it has crushed underfoot he catalogues and collects. He collates the annals of intemperance, the capharnaum of waste. He sorts things out and selects judiciously: he collects like a miser guarding a treasure, refuse which will assume the shape of useful or gratifying objects between the jaws of the goddess of Industry.' This description is one extended metaphor for the poetic method, as Baudelaire practiced it. Ragpicker and poet: both are concerned with refuse" (Benjamin 2003, 48).
- 6. © Angelus Novus, 1920 (Indian ink, color chalk, and brown wash on paper), Paul Klee (1879–1940)/The Israel Museum, Jerusalem, Israel/Carole and Ronald Lauder, New York/The Bridgeman Art Library.

260 Fred Turner

celebrate innovation and progress, fine—so long as we follow the rocket down and see where it lands. In the landing there will be another beginning, or at least so we can hope. In terms of our intellectual citizenship more broadly, it means studying media technology not only in the terms set for it by producers, distributors, and users, but also within the increasingly visible limits set for all of us by the natural environment within which we live and by our bodies, which are a part of it. In Walter Lippmann's time, media technology threatened the massification of society. In our time, communication technologies, coupled with the fossil-fueled power plants on which they depend and the transportation technologies that bring them to market, are threatening to end society as we know it. As Jackson argues, we need a mode of scholarship that studies media technology in relation to shifts not only in patterns of production and use, but also in the ecosphere.

The appeal of such an approach and its importance should be clear. As Gillespie, Kelty, and Downey all suggest, a new media-technological era has arrived. Elements of the old remain—mass media continue to flourish, as does industrial labor—but they do so under a steady rain of claims that digital technologies have finally freed us from the natural world and a steady stream of efforts by manufacturers and pundits to mask the fact that they haven't. The mass society that Lippmann feared has not only flourished, but so accelerated its workings as to begin to eat at its own foundations. Here and there, we are just beginning to see the ruins poking through. If Jackson is right, and I hope he is, we still have the chance to pay attention to the crumbling and so, in the end, to build something better.

### References

Abbate, Janet. 1999. Inventing the Internet. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Adorno, Theodore, and Max Horkheimer. 1977. The culture industry: Enlightenment as mass deception. In *Mass Communication and Society*, ed. James Curran, Michael Gurevitch, and Janet Woollacott, 349–383. London: Edward Arnold.

Akrich, Madeleine. 1992. The de-scription of technical objects. In *Shaping Technology/Building Society: Studies in Sociotechnical Change*, ed. Wiebe E. Bijker and John Law, 205–224. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Akrich, Madeleine. 1995. User representations: Practices, methods and sociology. In *Managing Technology in Society*, ed. Arie Rip, Thomas J. Misa, and Johan Schot, 167–184. London: Pinter.

Althaus, Scott, and David Tewksbury. 2000. Patterns of Internet and traditional media use in a networked community. *Political Communication* 17:21–45.

Ananny, Mike. 2011. The curious connection between apps for gay men and sex offenders. *The Atlantic*, April 14. http://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2011/04/the-curious-connection-between-apps-for-gay-men-and-sex-offenders/237340/, accessed April 22, 2013

Anderson, C. W. 2011. Deliberative, agonistic, and algorithmic audiences: Journalism's vision of its public in an age of audience. *Journal of Communication* 5:529–547.

Andrejevic, Mark. 2007. *iSpy: Surveillance and Power in the Interactive Era*. Lawrence: University Press of Kansas.

Aneesh, Aneesh. 2006. Virtual Migration: The Programming of Globalization. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Ang, Ien. 1990. Desperately Seeking the Audience. London: Routledge.

Arendt, Hannah. 1963. Eichmann in Jerusalem: A Report on the Banality of Evil. New York: Viking.

Arnheim, Rudolph. 1971. Entropy and Art: An Essay on Disorder and Order. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Auletta, Ken. 2010. Publish or perish. New Yorker 86 (10) (April):24-31.

Babbage, Charles. 1837. The Ninth Bridgewater Treatise: A Fragment. London: J. Murray.

Bacon, Francis. [1620] 1902. *Novum Organum*. American Home Library Company, New York. http://www.constitution.org/bacon/nov\_org.htm, accessed April 22, 2013.

Bagdikian, Ben. 2004. The New Media Monopoly. Boston: Beacon Press.

Bakardjieva, Maria. 2005. Conceptualizing user agency. In *Internet Society: The Internet in Everyday Life*: 9–36. London: Sage.

Baldwin-Philippi, Jesse. 2011. Bringing science and technology studies to bear in communication studies research. *Communication Research Trends* 30 (2):4–20.

Baldwin-Philippi, Jesse. 2011. "Political pass-along in a digital era: How political campaigns encourage the re-circulation of their messages." Paper presented at the annual meeting of the National Communication Association, New Orleans.

Balka, Ellen. 2011. "Mapping the body across diverse information systems: Shadow bodies and how they make us human." Paper presented at the annual meeting for the Society for Social Studies of Science, Cleveland, OH.

Balsamo, Anne. 1996. *Technologies of the Gendered Body: Reading Cyborg Women*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Balsamo, Anne. 2011. *Designing Culture: The Technocultural Imagination at Work*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Barad, Karen. 2007. Meeting the Universe Halfway: Quantum Physics and the Entanglement of Matter and Meaning. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Barbrook, Richard, and Andy Cameron. 1996. The Californian ideology. *Science as Culture* 6 (1):44–72.

Bardini, Thierry. 2000. *Bootstrapping: Douglas Engelbart, Coevolution, and the Origins of Personal Computing*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

Barnhurst, Kevin G., and John C. Nerone. 2001. *The Form of News: A History*. New York: Guilford Press.

Barry, Andrew. 2001. *Political Machines: Governing a Technological Society*. New York: Athlone Press.

Barry, Andrew, Georgina Born, and Gisa Weszkalnys. 2008. Logics of interdisciplinarity. *Economy and Society* 37 (1):20–49.

Basen, Ira. 2011. "Age of the algorithm." Maisonneuve, May 9.

Battelle, John. 2005. The Search: How Google and Its Rivals Rewrote the Rules of Business and Transformed Our Culture. New York: Portfolio.

Battles, Matthew, 2003, Library: An Unquiet History. New York: W. W. Norton.

Baughman, James L. 1992. The Republic of Mass Culture: Journalism, Filmmaking, and Broadcasting in America Since 1941. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Baym, Nancy. 2000. Tune in, Log on: Soaps, Fandom, and Online Community. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Baym, Nancy, and Robert Burnett. 2009. Amateur experts: International fan labour in Swedish independent music. *International Journal of Cultural Studies* 12 (5):433–449.

Beck, Ulrich. 2000. The cosmopolitan perspective: Sociology of the second age of modernity. *British Journal of Sociology* 51 (1):79–105.

Beck, Ulrich. 2002. The cosmopolitan society and its enemies. *Theory, Culture & Society* 19 (1–2):17–44.

Beck, Ulrich. 2006. Cosmopolitan Vision. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Beck, Ulrich, and Natan Sznaider. 2006. Unpacking cosmopolitanism for the social sciences: A research agenda. *British Journal of Sociology* 57 (1):1–23.

Beer, David. 2009. Power through the algorithm? Participatory web cultures and the technological unconscious. *New Media & Society* 11 (6):985–1002.

Bell, Daniel. 1976. *The Coming of Post-Industrial Society: A Venture in Social Forecasting*. New York: Basic Books.

Benjamin, Walter. 1969. *Illuminations: Essays and Reflections*, ed. Hannah Arendt; trans. Harry Zohn. New York: Schocken.

Benjamin, Walter. 1999. *The Arcades Project*, trans. Howard Eiland and Kevin McLaughlin. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Benjamin, Walter. 2003. *Selected Writings*. Vol. 4, ed. Howard Eiland and Michael Jennings, 1938–1940. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Beniger, James. 1986. *The Control Revolution: Technological and Economic Origins of the Information Society*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Benkler, Yochai. 2007. *The Wealth of Networks: How Social Production Transforms Markets and Freedom*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Benner, Chris. 2002. Work in the New Economy: Flexible Labor Markets in Silicon Valley. Oxford: Blackwell Press.

Borsook, Paulina. 2000. *Cyberselfish: A Critical Romp through the Terribly Libertarian Culture of High Tech*. 1st ed. New York: PublicAffairs.

Bourdieu, Pierre. 1984. Distinction. London: Routledge.

Bourdieu, Pierre, and Loic J. D. Wacquant. 1992. *An Invitation to Reflexive Sociology*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Bowker, Geoffrey. 1993. How to be universal: Some cybernetic strategies, 1945–1970. Social Studies of Science 23 (1):107–127.

Bowker, Geoffrey. 1994. Science on the Run: Information Management and Industrial Geophysics at Schlumberger, 1920–1940. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Bowker, Geoffrey. 2006. Memory Practices in the Sciences. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Bowker, Geoffrey C., and Susan Leigh Star. 1999. Sorting Things Out: Classification and Its Consequences. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

boyd, danah. 2010. Social network sites as networked publics: Affordances, dynamics, and implications. In *Networked Self: Identity, Community, and Culture on Social Network Sites*, ed. Zizi Papacharissi, 39–58. New York: Routledge.

boyd, danah, and Kate Crawford. 2012. Critical questions for big data. *Information, Communication, and Society* 15 (5):662–679.

Boyer, Dominic, and Ulf Hannerz. 2006. Introduction: Worlds of journalism. *Ethnography* 7 (1):5–17.

Brand, Stuart. 1994. *How Buildings Learn: What Happens after They're Built*. New York: Penguin.

Braudel, Fernand. 1973. *Capitalism and Material Life, 1400–1800*. London: Weidenfeld and Nicolson.

Braun, Bruce, and Sarah Whatmore, eds. 2010. *Political Matter: Technoscience, Democracy, and Public Life*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Braun, Josh. 2011. "Electronic components and human interventions: Distributing television news online." PhD diss., Cornell University.

Braverman, Harry. 1974. Labor and Monopoly Capital: The Degradation of Work in the Twentieth Century. New York: Monthly Review Press.

Briggs, Asa. 1965. The Age of Improvement. London: Longmans.

Brook, James, and Iain Boal. 1995. *Resisting the Virtual Life: The Culture and Politics of Information*. San Francisco: City Lights Books.

Bruns, Axel. 2008. Blogs, Wikipedia, Second Life, and Beyond: From Production to Produsage. New York: Peter Lang.

Brunton, Finn. 2011. "After WikiLeaks, us." *The New Everyday: A Media Commons Project*, April 6. http://mediacommons.futureofthebook.org/tne/pieces/after-wikileaks-us, accessed April 22, 2013.

Brunton, Finn, and Helen Nissenbaum. 2011. Vernacular resistance to data collection and analysis: A political theory of obfuscation. *First Monday* 16 (5). http://firstmonday.org/htbin/cgiwrap/bin/ojs/index.php/fm/article/viewArticle/3493/2955, accessed April 22, 2013.

Bucher, Taina. 2012. Want to be on the top? Algorithmic power and the threat of invisibility on Facebook. *New Media & Society* 14 (7):1164–1180.

Buffon, George-Louis Leclerc, comte de. 1770. *Histoire Naturelle, Générale et Particulière*. Paris: Imprimerie royale.

Burrell, Jenna. 2008. Problematic empowerment: West African Internet scams as strategic misrepresentation. *Information Technology and International Development* 4 (4):15–30.

Burrell, Jenna. 2012. *Invisible Users: Youth in the Internet Cafes of Urban Ghana*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Burt, Ronald. 1982. Toward a Structural Theory of Action: Network Models of Social Structure, Perception and Action. New York: Academic Press.

Buschman, John E., and Gloria J. Leckie, eds. 2007. *The Library as Place: History, Community, and Culture.* Westport, CT: Libraries Unlimited.

Butler, Judith. 1993. *Bodies That Matter: On the Discursive Limits of "Sex."* New York: Routledge.

Callon, Michel. 1986. Some elements of a sociology of translation: Domestication of the scallops and the fishermen of St. Brieuc Bay. In *Power, Action and Belief*, ed. John Law, 196–233. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.

Callon, Michel. 1999. Actor-network theory: The market test. In *Actor Network Theory and After*, ed. John Law and John Hassard, 191–195. Oxford: Blackwell.

Callon, Michel. 2004. The role of hybrid communities and socio-technical arrangements in the participatory design. *Journal of the Center for Information Studies* 5 (3):3–10.

Campbell-Kelly, Martin. 1996. *Computer: A History of the Information Machine*. 1st ed. New York: Basic Books.

Canales, Jimena. 2009. A Tenth of a Second: A History. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Candea, Matei, ed. 2010. The Social after Gabriel Tarde: Debates and Assessments. London: Routledge.

Canguilhem, Georges. 1978. *The Normal and the Pathological*. Hingham, MA: D. Reidel Publishing.

Caplan, Karen. 2012. "Bomb sight: The visual realism of aerial reconnaissance." *Society and Space: Environment and Planning D*. http://societyandspace.com/2012/09/05/bomb-sight-the-visual-realism-of-aerial-reconnaissance/, accessed April 22, 2013.

Carey, James W. [1983] 1989. Technology and ideology: The case of the telegraph. In *Communication as Culture*, ed. James Carey, 201–230. Winchester, MA: Unwin Hyman. (Orig. publ. in *Prospects: The Annual of the American Studies Association* 8.)

Carey, James W. 1989. Communication as Culture. Boston: Unwin Hyman.

Carey, James, and Lawrence Grossberg. 2006. Configurations of culture, history and politics. In *Thinking with James Carey*, ed. Jeremy Packer and Craig Robertson, 199–221. New York: Peter Lang.

Carpignano, Paolo. 1999. The shape of the sphere: The public sphere and the materiality of communication. *Constellations (Oxford, England)* 6 (2):177–189.

Cartwright, Lisa, and Brian Goldfarb. 2006. On the subject of neural and sensory prostheses. In *The Prosthetic Impulse: From a Posthuman Present to a Biocultural Future*, ed. Marquard Smith and Joanne Mora, 125–154. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Castells, Manuel. 1996. The Rise of the Network Society. Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishers.

Ceruzzi, Paul. 2003. A History of Modern Computing. 2nd ed. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Chaffee, Steven H., and Miriam J. Metzger. 2001. The end of mass communication? *Communication* 4 (4):365–379.

Chandler, Jr. Alfred D. 1977. *The Visible Hand: The Managerial Revolution in American Business*. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press.

Chandler, Jr. Alfred D., and James W. Cortada, eds. 2000. A Nation Transformed by Information: How Information has Shaped the United States from Colonial Times to the Present. New York: Oxford University Press.

Chartier, Roger. 2005. *Inscrire et Effacer: Culture Ecrite et Littérature, XI<sup>e</sup>–MVIII<sup>e</sup> Siècle.* Paris: Gallimard Seuil.

Cheney-Lippold, John. 2011. A new algorithmic identity: Soft biopolitics and the modulation of control. *Theory, Culture & Society* 28 (6):164–181.

Christensen, Kathleen, and Kathleen Barker, eds. 1998. Contingent Work: American Employment Relations in Transition. Ithaca, NY: ILR Press.

Christman, John. 1991. Liberalism and individual positive freedom. *Ethics* 101 (2):343–359.

Cmiel, Kenneth. 2009. Libraries, books, and the Information Age. In *The Enduring Book: Print Culture in Postwar America*, ed. Joan Shelley Rubin, David Paul Nord, and Michael Schudson, 325–346. A History of the Book in America 5. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.

Cohen, Julie. 2012. Configuring the Networked Self: Law, Code, and the Play of Everyday Practice. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Coleman, Gabriella. 2009. Code is speech: Legal tinkering, expertise, and protest among free and open source software developers. *Cultural Anthropology* 24 (3):420–454.

Coleman, Gabriella. 2012. "Am I Anonymous?" *Limn* 2 (March). http://limn.it/am-i-anonymous/, accessed May 17, 2012.

Coleman, Gabriella. 2013. Anonymous and the Politics of Leaking. In *Beyond WikiLeaks: Implications for the Future of Communications, Journalism and Society*, ed. Bendetta Brevini, Arne Hintz, and Patrick McCurdy. London: Palgrave Macmillan.

Collins, Harry M. 1985. Changing Order: Replication and Induction in Scientific Practice. London: Sage Publications.

Comte, August. [1830–1845] 1975. *Philosophie Première; Cours de Philosophie Positive, Leçons 1 à 45*. Paris: Hermann.

Constant, Edward W. II. 1987. The social locus of technological practice: Community, system, or organization? In *The Social Construction of Technological Systems: New Directions in the Sociology and History of Technology*, ed. Wiebe E. Bijker, Thomas P. Hughes, and Trevor Pinch, 223–242. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Coole, Diana, and Samantha Frost, eds. 2010. New Materialisms: Ontology, Agency, and Politics. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Cooper, Alan. 1999. The Inmates Are Running the Asylum. Indianapolis, IN: Macmillan.

Couldry, Nick. 2004. Theorising media as practice. *Social Semiotics* 14 Ay(2):115–132.

Couldry, Nick. 2008. Mediatization or mediation? Alternative understandings of the emergent space of digital storytelling. *New Media & Society* 10 (3):373–391.

Couldry, Nick. 2012. *Media, Society, World: Social Theory and Digital Media Practice*. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Cowan, Ruth Schwartz. 1987. The consumption junction: A proposal for research strategies in the sociology of technology. In *The Social Construction of Technological Systems: New Directions in the Sociology and History of Technology*, ed. Wiebe E. Bijker, Thomas Parke Hughes, and Trevor Pinch, 261–280. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

References 271

Craig, Robert T. 1999. Communication theory as a field. *Communication Theory* 9 (2):119–161.

Crompton, Andrew. 2008. Three doors to other worlds. *Journal of Architectural Education* 62 (2):24–29.

Cronon, William. 1991. Nature's Metropolis: Chicago and the Great West. New York: W. W. Norton.

Cussins, Adrian. 1992. Content, embodiment and objectivity: The theory of cognitive trails. *Mind* 101 (404):651–688.

Czitrom, Daniel. 1982. *Media and the American Mind: From Morse to McLuhan*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.

Dahlberg, Lincoln. 2004. Internet research tracings: Towards non-reductionist methodology. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* 9 (3). http://onlinelibrary.wilev.com/doi/10.1111/j.1083-6101.2004.tb00289.x/full, accessed April 22, 2013.

Darnton, Robert. 2009. "Google and the new digital future." New York Review of Books, December 17.

Dayan, Daniel. 2001. The peculiar public of television. *Media Culture & Society* 23 (6):743–765.

Dear, Michael, and Steven Flusty, eds. 2002. *The Spaces of Postmodernity: Readings in Human Geography*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.

de Bergerac, Cyrano. [1657] 1995. L'autre Monde, ou, Les Empires et Estats de la Lune: Édition Diplomatique d'un Manuscrit Inédit (Bibliothèque Fisher, University of Sydney, RB Add. Ms. 68), trans. M. Sankey. Paris: Lettres Modernes.

de Certeau, Michel. 1984. *The Practices of Everyday Life*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Deleuze, Gilles, and Félix Guattari. 1987. A Thousand Plateaus: Capitalism and Schizophrenia. vol. 2., trans. Brian Massumi. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

der Derian, James. 2009. Virtuous War: Mapping the Military-Industrial-Media-Entertainment Network. 2nd ed. New York: Routledge.

De Sola Pool, Ithiel. 1983. Technologies of Freedom. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press.

Deuze, Mark. 2007. Media Work. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Dewey, John. 1896. The reflex arc concept in psychology. *Psychological Review* 3:357–370.

Dewey, John. 1922. *Human Nature and Conduct: An Introduction to Social Psychology*. New York: Henry Holt and Company.

Dewey, John. [1916] 2006. Essays in Experimental Logic. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.

Dillman, Don A. 2007. *Mail and Internet Surveys: The Tailored Design Method*. 2nd ed. New York: Wiley.

Dostal, Major Brad C. 2001. Enhancing Situational Understanding through the Employment of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles. Center for Army Lessons Learned. http://www.globalsecurity.org/military/library/report/call/call\_01-18\_ch6.htm, accessed April 22, 2013.

Douglas, Mary. 2007. *Thinking in Circles: An Essay on Ring Composition*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Douglas, Susan. 1987. *Inventing American Broadcasting, 1899–1922*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Dourish, Paul. 2004. What we talk about when we talk about context. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing* 8 (1):19–30.

Dourish, Paul. 2006. "Implications for Design." In CHI '06. Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems: 541–560.

Downey, Gregory J. 2000. Running somewhere between men and women: Gender and the construction of the telegraph messenger boy. In *Research in Science and Technology Studies: Gender and Work*, ed. Shirley Gorenstein, 129–152. Knowledge and Society 12. Stamford, CT: JAI Press.

Downey, Gregory J. 2001. Virtual webs, physical technologies, and hidden workers: The spaces of labor in information internetworks. *Technology and Culture* 42:209–235.

Downey, Gregory J. 2002. *Telegraph Messenger Boys: Labor, Technology, and Geography,* 1850–1950. New York: Routledge.

Downey, Gregory J. 2003. Telegraph messenger boys: Crossing the borders between history of technology and human geography. *Professional Geographer* 55:134–145.

Downey, Gregory J. 2004. Jumping contexts of space and time. *IEEE Annals of the History of Computing* 26 (2):94–96.

Downey, Gregory J. 2004. The place of labor in the history of information technology revolutions. In *Uncovering Labor in Information Revolutions, 1750–2000*, ed. Aad Blok and Greg Downey, 225–261. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Downey, Gregory J. 2006. Constructing "computer-compatible" stenographers: The transition to realtime transcription in courtroom reporting. *Technology and Culture* 47:1–26.

Downey, Gregory J. 2007. Constructing closed-captioning in the public interest: From minority media accessibility to mainstream educational technology. *Info* 9 (2/3).

Downey, Gregory J. 2007. Human geography and information studies. In *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, vol. 41, ed. Blaise Cronon, 683–727. Medford, NJ: Information Today, Inc.

Downey, Gregory J. 2007. The librarian and the Univac: Automation and labor at the 1962 Seattle World's Fair. In *Knowledge Workers in the Information Society*, ed. Catherine McKercher and Vincent Mosco. 37–52. Lanham, MD: Lexington Books.

Downey, Gregory J. 2008. *Closed Captioning: Subtitling, Stenography, and the Digital Convergence of Text with Television*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Downey, Gregory J. 2009. Cyberspace and the geography of information. In *Encyclopedia of Library and Information Sciences*. 3rd ed., ed. M. Bates and M. N. Maack, 1402–1411. New York: Taylor and Francis.

Downey, Gregory J. 2010. Gender and computing in the push-button library: From cataloging to metadata. In *Gender Codes: Women and Men in the Computing Professions*, ed. Thomas Misa, 143–161. Minneapolis, MN: Charles Babbage Institute.

Downey, Gregory J. 2010. Teaching reading with television: Constructing closed captioning using the rhetoric of literacy. In *Education and the Culture of Print in Modern America*, ed. Andrew R. Nelson and John L. Rudolph, 191–214. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.

Downey, Gregory J. 2011. *Technology and Communication in American History. SHOT/ AHA Historical Perspectives on Technology, Society, and Culture.* Washington, DC: American Historical Association.

Drucker, Johanna. 2003. "The Virtual Codex from page space to e-space." Paper presented at the Syracuse University History of the Book Seminar, Syracuse, NY. Published by Book Web Arts, http://www.philobiblon.com/drucker/, accessed April 22, 2013.

Drucker, Johanna. 2012. Beyond conceptualisms: Poetics after critique and the end of the individual voice. *The Poetry Project Newsletter* (April/May): 6–9.

Druckrey, Timothy, ed. 1996. *Electronic Culture: Technology and Visual Representation*. Preface by Allucquere R. Stone. New York: Aperture.

Du Gay, Paul, Stuart Hall, Linda Jones, Hugh Mackay, and Keith Negus. 1997. *Doing Cultural Studies: The Story of the Sony Walkman*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Dyer-Witheford, Nick. 1999. *Cyber-Marx: Cycles and Circuits of Struggle in High-Tech-nology Capitalism*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.

Eco, Umberto. 1984. *The Role of the Reader: Explorations in the Semiotics of Texts*, 3–43. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

Edwards, Paul. 1996. *The Closed World: Computers and the Politics of Discourse in Cold War America*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Edwards, Paul. 2003. Infrastructure and modernity: Force, time, and social organization in the history of sociotechnical systems. In *Modernity and Technology*, ed. Thomas J. Misa, Phillip Brey, and Andrew Feenberg, 185–225. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Edwards, Paul. 2010. A Vast Machine. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Eisenstein, Elizabeth L. 1979. *The Printing Press as an Agent of Change*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Elk, Mike. 2011. "Huffington's bogus defense of unpaid bloggers." *In These Times*, August 2.

Ellul, Jacques. 1964. *The Technological Society*, trans. John Wilkinson. New York: Vintage Books.

Ellul, Jacques. 1980. *The Technological System*, trans. Joachim Neugroschel. New York: Continuum.

Elmer, Greg. 2009. Exclusionary rules? The politics of protocols. In *Routledge Handbook of Internet Politics*, ed. Andrew Chadwick and Philip N. Howard, 376–383. London: Routledge.

Engelbart, Douglas. 1962. Augmenting Human Intellect: A Conceptual Framework. Summary report prepared for the Director of Information Sciences, Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Washington, DC. Stanford, CA: Stanford Research Institute. http://www.dougengelbart.org/pubs/augment-3906.html, accessed April 1, 2013.

Ensmenger, Nathan. 2010. The Computer Boys Take Over: Computers, Programmers, and the Politics of Technical Expertise. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Epstein, Steven. 1995. The construction of lay expertise: AIDS activism and the forging of credibility in the reform of clinical trials. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 20 (4):408–437.

Epstein, William M. 1990. Confirmational response bias among social work journals. *Science, Technology, and Human Values* 15 (9):9–38.

Eschenfelder, Kristin R., Anuj C. Desai, and Greg Downey. 2011. The Pre-Internet downloading controversy: The evolution of use rights for digital intellectual and cultural Works. *Information Society* 27:69–91.

Eubanks, Virginia. 2011. *Digital Dead End: Fighting for Social Justice in the Information Age.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Fallows, James. 2011. "Learning to love the (shallow, divisive, unreliable) new media." *The Atlantic*, April.

Farrell, Henry. 2012. The consequences of the Internet for politics. *Annual Review of Political Science* 15 (1):35–52.

Feenberg, Andrew. 1991. *Critical Theory of Technology*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Feenberg, Andrew. 1999. Questioning Technology. New York: Routledge.

Fine, Robert. 2007. Cosmopolitanism. New York: Routledge.

Fine, Robert, and Vivienne Boon. 2007. Introduction. Cosmopolitanism: Between past and future. European Journal of Social Theory 10 (1):5–16.

Finkelstein, Seth. 2008. Google, links, and popularity versus authority. In *The Hyperlinked Society: Questioning Connections in the Digital Age*, ed. Joseph Turow and Lokman Tsui, 104–120. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

Fischer, Claude. 1992. America Calling: A Social History of the Telephone to 1940. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Fischer, John Martin. 1999. Recent work on moral responsibility. *Ethics* 110 (1):93–139.

Fiske, John. 1992. Audiencing: A cultural studies approach to watching television. *Poetics* 21:345–359.

Flayhan, Donna. 2005. Early medium theory, or roots of technological determinism in communication theory. In *The Legacy of McLuhan*, ed. Lance Strate and Edward Wachtel, 237–246. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.

Flichy, Patrice. 2007. *Understanding Technological Innovation: A Socio-Technical Approach*. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.

Florida, Richard L. 2002. The Rise of the Creative Class: And How It's Transforming Work, Leisure, Community and Everyday Life. New York: Basic Books.

Forster, E. M. 1927. Aspects of the Novel. New York: Harcourt.

Foucault, Michel. 1977. Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison, trans. Alan Sheridan. New York: Vintage Books.

Foucault, Michel. 1980. *Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and Other Writings*, 1972–1977, ed. Colin Gordon. New York: Knopf Doubleday.

Foucault, Michel. 1988. Technologies of the self. In *Technologies of the Self: A Seminar with Michel Foucault*, ed. Luther Martin, Huck Gutman, and Patrick Hutton, 16–49. London: Tavistock.

Foucault, Michel. 1991. Governmentality. In *The Foucault Effect: Studies in Governmentality*, ed. G. Churchill, C. Gordon, and P. Miller, 87–104. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Foucault, Michel. 1991. Questions of method. In *The Foucault Effect: Studies in Governmentality*, ed. Graham Burchell, Colin Gordon, and Peter Miller, 73–86. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Frau-Meigs, Divina, and Jordi Torrent, eds. 2009. *Mapping Media Education Policies in the World: Visions, Programmes and Challenges*. New York: The United Nations-Alliance of Civilizations and Grupo Comunicar.

Fuller, Matthew. 2005. *Media Ecologies: Materialist Energies in Art and Technoculture*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Gabler, Edwin. 1988. *The American Telegrapher: A Social History, 1860–1900.* New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Galambos, Louis. 1988. Looking for the boundaries of technological determinism: A brief history of the U.S. telephone system. In *The Development of Large Technical Systems*, ed. Renate Mayntz and Thomas P. Hughes, 135–153. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Galison, Peter. 1994. The ontology of the enemy: Norbert Wiener and the cybernetic vision. *Critical Inquiry* 21 (2):228–266.

Galison, Peter. 2003. Einstein's Clocks and Poincaré's Maps: Empires of Time. 1st ed. New York: W. W. Norton.

Galloway, Alexander. 2004. *Protocol: How Control Exists after Decentralization*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Galloway, Alexander. 2006. *Gaming: Essays on Algorithmic Culture*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Galloway, Alexander R., and Eugene Thacker. 2007. *The Exploit: A Theory of Networks*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Gamson, Joshua. 1994. *Claims to Fame: Celebrity in Contemporary America*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Gane, Nicholas, and David Beer. 2008. New Media: The Key Concepts. Oxford: Berg Publishers.

Gans, Herbert J. 1979. Deciding What's News: A Study of CBS Evening News, NBC Nightly News, Newsweek, and Time. New York: Pantheon Books.

Garrett, Jesse James. 2002. The Elements of User Experience: User-Centered Design for the Web. Indianapolis, IN: New Riders.

Gates, Kelly A. 2011. Our Biometric Future: Facial Recognition Technology and the Culture of Surveillance. New York: New York University Press.

Gibbons, Michael, Camille Limoges, Helga Nowotny, Simon Schwartzman, Peter Scott, and Martin Trow. 1994. *The New Production of Knowledge: The Dynamics of Science and Research in Contemporary Societies*. London: Sage.

Gibson, James J. 1977. The theory of affordances. In *Perceiving, Acting, and Knowing: Toward an Ecological Psychology*, ed. Robert Shaw and John Bransford, 67–82. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Gibson, James J. 1979. *The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.

Gibson, James J. 1982. Notes on affordances. In *Reasons for Realism: Selected Essays of James J. Gibson*, ed. Edward S. Reed and Rebecca Jones, 401–418. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Giddens, Anthony. 1986. *The Constitution of Society: Outline of the Theory of Structuration*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Giddens, Anthony. 1990. *The Consequences of Modernity*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

Gillespie, Tarleton. 2006. Engineering a principle: "End-to-end" in the design of the Internet. *Social Studies of Science* 36 (3):427–457.

Gillespie, Tarleton. 2007. Wired Shut: Copyright and the Shape of Digital Culture. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Gillespie, Tarleton. 2010. The politics of "platforms." New Media & Society 12 (3):1–18.

Gillespie, Tarleton. 2012. "Can an algorithm be wrong?" Limn 2. http://limn.it/can-an-algorithm-be-wrong/, accessed April 22, 2013.

Gillespie, Tarleton. Forthcoming. *Speaking from Platforms*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Gillespie, Tarleton, and Hector Postigo. 2012. "Five more points." *Culture Digitally*, May 4. http://culturedigitally.org/2012/05/five-more-points/, accessed April 22, 2013.

Gilligan, Carol. 1982. In a Different Voice: Psychological Theory and Women's Development. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Gillmor, Dan. 2008. *Principles for a New Media Literacy*. Cambridge, MA: Berkman Center for Internet and Society.

Gilroy, Paul. 1994. The Black Atlantic: Modernity and Double Consciousness. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Gitelman, Lisa. 1999. Scripts, Grooves, and Writing Machines: Representing Technology in the Edison Era. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

Gitelman, Lisa. 2006. *Always Already New: Media, History and the Data of Culture*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Gitelman, Lisa. 2013. "Raw Data" Is an Oxymoron. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Gitelman, Lisa, and Virginia Jackson. 2013. Introduction. In "Raw Data" Is an Oxymoron, ed. Lisa Gitelman, 1–14. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Gitlin, Todd. 2000. Inside Prime Time. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Goffey, Andrew. 2008. Algorithm. In *Software Studies: A Lexicon*, ed. Matthew Fuller, 15–20. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Goggin, Gerard, and Christopher Newell. 2006. Disabling cell phones. In *The Cell Phone Reader*, ed. Anandam Kavoori and Noah Arceneaux, 155–172. New York: Peter Lang.

Goldberg, David T., and Stefka Hristova. 2008. "Blue velvet: Re-dressing New Orleans in Katrina's Wake." *Vectors Journal*. http://vectors.usc.edu/issues/index.php?issue=5, accessed April 18, 2013.

Goldhagen, Daniel. 1996. Hitler's Willing Executioners: Ordinary Germans and the Holocaust. New York: Vintage.

Gorman, Michael. 2003. *The Enduring Library: Technology, Tradition, and the Quest for Balance*. Chicago: American Library Association.

Graham, Stephen, and Simon Marvin. 1996. *Telecommunications and the City: Electronic Spaces, Urban Places*. London: Routledge.

Graham, Stephen, and Simon Marvin. 2001. *Splintering Urbanism: Networked Infrastructures, Technological Mobilities and the Urban Condition*. New York: Routledge.

Graham, Stephen, and Nigel Thrift. 2007. Understanding repair and maintenance. *Theory, Culture & Society* 24 (3):1–25.

Granka, Laura. 2010. The politics of search: A decade retrospective. *Information Society* 26 (5):364–374.

Gray, Mary. 2009. Out in the Country: Youth, Media, and Queer Visibility in Rural America. New York: New York University Press.

Grimmelmann, James. 2008. The Google dilemma. New York Law School Law Review. 53:939–950.

Grimmelmann, James. 2010. Some skepticism about search neutrality. In *The Next Digital Decade: Essays on the Future of the Internet*, ed. Berin Szoka and Adam Marcus, 435–459. Washington, DC: TechFreedom.

Grindstaff, Laura. 2002. *The Money Shot: Trash, Class, and the Making of TV Talk Shows*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Gross, Paul R., and Norman Levitt. 1998. *Higher Superstition: The Academic Left and Its Quarrels with Science*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Grossberg, Lawrence. 2010. Cultural Studies in the Future Tense. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Grosswiler, Paul. 2005. Retrieving McLuhan for cultural studies and postmodernism. In *The Legacy of McLuhan*, ed. Lance Strate and Edward Wachtel, 247–260. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.

Grosz, Elizabeth A. 2011. *Becoming Undone: Darwinian Reflections on Life, Politics, and Art.* Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Grudin, Jonathan. 2006. Why personas work: The psychological evidence. In *The Persona Lifecycle: Keeping People in Mind*, ed. John Pruitt and Tamara Adlin, 642–663. San Francisco: Morgan Kaufmann.

Grudin, Jonathan, and John Pruitt. 2002. "Personas, participatory design and product development: An infrastructure for engagement." Paper presented at the Participatory Design Conference, Malmo, Sweden.

Gumbrecht, Hans Ulrich, and K. Ludwig Pfeiffer. 1994. *Materialities of Communication*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

Gumpert, Gary. 2005. Marshall McLuhan meets Communication 101: McLuhan as exile. In *The Legacy of McLuhan*, ed. Lance Strate and Edward Wachtel, 227–236. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.

Habermas, Jürgen. [1981] 1987. *The Theory of Communicative Action, vol. 2: Lifeworld and System; A Critique of Functionalist Reason*. Trans. Thomas McCarthy. Boston, MA: Beacon Press. (Orig. publ. as *Theorie des kommunikativen Handelns, Band 2: Zur Kritik der funktionalistischen Vernunft*, Suhrkamp Verlag, Frankfurt-am-Main.)

Habermas, Jürgen. [1962] 1989. *The Structural Transformation of the Public Sphere: An Inquiry into a Category of Bourgeois Society*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. First published 1962 by Darmstadt Neuwied: Hermann Luchterhand. Trans. Thomas Burger with the assistance of Frederick Lawrence.

Hackett, Edward J., Olga Amsterdamska, Michael Lynch, and Judy Wajcman. 2008. Introduction. In *The Handbook of Science and Technology Studies*. 3rd ed., ed. Edward J. Hackett, Olga Amsterdamska, Michael Lynch, and Judy Wajcman, 1–7. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Hacking, Ian. 1999. *The Social Construction of What?* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Haddon, Leslie. 2006. The contribution of domestication research to in-home computing and media consumption. *Information Society* 22 (4):195–204.

Hage, Ghassan. 2011. Dwelling in the reality of utopia. *Traditional Dwellings and Settlements Review* 23 (1):7–12.

Hage, Ghassan. 2012. Critical anthropological thought and the radical political imaginary. *Critique of Anthropology* 32 (3):285–308.

Halavais, Alexander. 2008. Search Engine Society. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Hall, Stuart. 1980. Encoding/decoding. In *Culture, Media, Language*, ed. Stuart Hall, Dorothy Hobson, Andrew Lowe, and Paul Willis, 128–138. London: Unwin Hyman.

Hall, Stuart. 1997. Representation: Cultural Representations and Signifying Practices. London: Sage.

Hall, Stuart. 1999. Representation: Cultural Representations and Signifying Practices. London: Sage.

Hansen, Sean, Nicholas Berente, and Kalle Lyytinen. 2009. Wikipedia, critical social theory, and the possibility of rational discourse. *Information Society* 25:38–59.

Haraway, Donna. 1991. Simians, Cyborgs, and Women: The Reinvention of Nature. New York: Routledge.

Haraway, Donna. 1997. *Modest \_Witness @Second\_Millenium.FemaleMan\_Meets\_Onco-Mouse*<sup>TM</sup>: Feminism and Technoscience. New York: Routledge.

Hardt, Michael, and Antonio Negri. 2000. Empire. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Harman, Graham. 2002. Tool-Being: Heidegger and the Metaphysics of Objects. New York: Open Court.

Hartmann, Maren. 2005. The triple articulation of ICT: Media as technological objects, symbolic environments and individual texts. In *Domestication of Media and Technology*, ed. Thomas Berker, Maren Hartmann, Yves Punie, and Katie Ward, 80–102. Maidenhead, UK: Open University Press.

Harvey, David. 1982. The Limits to Capital. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Harvey, David. 2001. Spaces of Capital: Towards a Critical Geography. New York: Routledge.

Harvey, David. 2010. The Enigma of Capital. New York: Oxford University Press.

Hawking, Stephen W. 1980. Is the End in Sight for Theoretical Physics? An Inaugural Lecture. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Hayles, N. Katherine. 1999. *How We Became Posthuman: Virtual Bodies in Cybernetics, Literature, and Informatics.* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

References

Hayles, N. 2005. My Mother Was a Computer: Digital Subjects and Literary Texts. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Hecht, Jeff. 2011. "Light is not fast enough for high-speed training." *New Scientist* 2832 (October 1). http://www.newscientist.com/article/mg21128324.700-light-is-not-fast-enough-for-highspeed-stock-trading.html, accessed April 22, 2013.

Heidegger, Martin. [1977] 2008. Building dwelling thinking. In *Basic Writings*, ed. David Farrell Krell, 343–364. San Francisco: Harper.

Heidegger, Martin. [1977] 2008. The question concerning technology. In *Basic Writings*, ed. David Farrell Krell, 307–342. San Francisco, CA: Harper.

Heidegger, Martin. 1977. The Question Concerning Technology and Other Essays, trans. William Lovitt. New York: Harper and Row.

Helmreich, Stefan. 2000. Silicon Second Nature: Culturing Artificial Life in a Digital World. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Helmreich, Stefan. 2007. An anthropologist underwater: Immersive soundscapes, submarine cyborgs and transductive ethnography. *American Ethnologist* 34 (4):621–641.

Hénaff, Marcel. 2002. Le Prix de la Vérité: Le Don, l'Argent, la Philosophie. Paris: Editions du Seuil.

Henke, Christoper. 2000. The mechanics of workplace order: Toward a sociology of repair. *Berkeley Journal of Sociology* 43:55–81.

Hepp, Andreas. 2012. Cultures of Mediatization. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Hesmondhalgh, David. 2006. Bourdieu, the media and cultural production. *Media Culture & Society* 28 (2):211–231.

Hess, David J. 2001. Ethnography and the development of science and technology studies. In *Handbook of Ethnography*, ed. Paul Atkinson, Amanda Coffey, Sara Delamont, Lyn Lofland, and John Lofland, 234–245. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Hetherington, Kevin. 2004. Second-handedness: Consumption, disposal and absent presence. *Environment and Planning. D: Society and Space* 22:157–173.

Hildebrand, Lucas. 2009. *Inherent Vice: Bootleg Histories of Videotape and Copyright*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Hilgartner, Stephen. 2000. *Science on Stage: Expert Advice as Public Drama*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

Hillis, Ken. 1999. *Digital Sensations: Space, Identity, and Embodiment in Virtual Reality*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Hilmes, Michelle. 1997. *Radio Voices: America Broadcasting, 1922–1952*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Hjarvard, Stig. 2008. The mediatization of society. Nordicom Review 29 (2):105–134.

Hobbs, Renée. 2010. *Digital and Media Literacy: A Plan of Action*. Washington, DC: The Aspen Institute and the John S. and James L. Knight Foundation.

Hodge, Robert, and Gunther Kress. 1988. *Social Semiotics*. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Howard, Philip N. 2005. *New Media Campaigns and the Managed Citizen*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Hughes, Thomas P. 1983. *Networks of Power: Electrification in Western Society 1880–1930*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Hughes, Thomas P. 1987. The evolution of large technical systems. In *The Social Construction of Technological Systems: New Directions in the Sociology and History of Technology*, ed. Wiebe E. Bijker, Thomas P. Hughes, and Trevor Pinch, 51–82. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Hughes, Thomas P. 1989. American Genesis: A Century of Invention and Technological Enthusiasm, 1870–1970. New York: Viking.

Hughes, Thomas P. 1994. Technological momentum. In *Does Technology Drive History? The Dilemma of Technological Determinism*, ed. Merritt R. Smith and Leo Marx, 101–114. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Huhtamo, Erkki. 1997. From kaleidoscomaniac to cybernerd: Notes toward an archaeology of media. *Leonardo* 30 (3):221–224.

Huhtamo, Erkki, and Jussi Parikka. 2011. *Media Archaeology: Approaches, Applications and Implications*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Hull, Richard. 2009. Disability and freedom. In *Arguing about Disability: Philosophical Perspectives*, ed. Kristjana Kristiansen, 93–104. New York: Routledge.

Hutchby, Ian. 2001. Technologies, texts and affordances. Sociology 35 (2):441–456.

Hutchby, Ian. 2001. *Conversation and Technology: From the Telephone to the Internet*. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Hutchby, Ian. 2003. Affordances and the analysis of technologically mediated interaction: A response to Brian Rappert. *Sociology* 37 (3):581–589.

Hutchins, Edwin. 1995. Cognition in the Wild. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Hyysalo, Sampsa. 2010. *Health Technology Development and Use: From Practice Bound Imagination to Evolving Impacts*. London: Routledge.

Ingold, Tim. 2007. Lines: A Brief History. London; New York: Routledge.

Innis, Harold A. 1951. *The Bias of Communication*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Innis, Harold A. 1972. *Empire and Communications*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Introna, Lucas, and Helen Nissenbaum. 2000. Shaping the Web: Why the politics of search engines matters. *Information Society* 16 (3):169–185.

Irwin, Alan. 2008. STS perspectives in scientific governance. In *The Handbook of Science and Technology Studies*. 3rd ed., ed. Edward J. Hackett, Olga Amsterdamska, Michael Lynch, and Judy Wajcman, 583–608. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Iser, Wolfgang. 1980. Interaction between text and reader. In *The Reader in the Text: Essays on Audience and Interpretation*, ed. Susan Suleiman and Inge Crosman, 106–121. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Ito, Mizuko. 2008. Introduction. In *Networked Publics*, ed. Kazys Varnelis, 1–14. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Ito, Mizuko, Sonja Baumer, Matteo Bittanti, danah boyd, Rachel Cody, Becky Herr-Stephenson, Heather A. Horst, Patricia G. Lange, Dilan Mahendran, Katynka Martinez, C. J. Pascoe, Dan Perkel, Laura Robinson, Christo Sims, and Lisa Tripp. 2009. *Hanging Out, Messing Around, and Geeking Out: Living and Learning with New Media*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Jackson, Michelle H., M. Scott Poole, and Timothy Kuhn. 2002. The social construction of technology in studies of the workplace. In *Handbook of New Media: Social Shaping and Consequences of ICTs*. 1st ed., ed. Leah A. Lievrouw and Sonia Livingstone, 236–253. London: Sage.

Jackson, Steven J., Alex Pompe, and Gabriel Krieshok. 2011. "Things fall apart: Maintenance and repair in ICT for education initiatives in rural Namibia." *Proceedings of the 2011 iConference*, Seattle, WA.

Jackson, Steven J., Alex Pompe, and Gabriel Krieshok. 2012. "Repair Worlds: Maintenance, Repair, and ICT for Development in Rural Namibia." *Proceedings of the 2012 Computer Supported Cooperative Work Conference*, Seattle, WA.

Jackson, Steven J., David Ribes, Ayse Buyuktur, and Geoffrey C. Bowker. 2011. "Collaborative rhythms: Temporal dissonance and alignment in collaborative scientific work." *Proceedings of the 2011 Computer Supported Cooperative Work Conference, Hangzhou, China*.

James, William. 1970. Essays in Pragmatism. New York: The Free Press.

James, William. [1907] 2000. *Pragmatism and Other Writings*. New York: Penguin Classics. First published by Longmans, Green and Company, New York.

Jamieson, Kathleen Hall, and Joseph N. Cappella. 2008. *Echo Chamber: Rush Limbaugh and the Conservative Media Establishment*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Jasanoff, Sheila. 2003. Technologies of humility: Citizen participation in governing science. *Minerva* 41 (3):223–244.

Jasanoff, Sheila, ed. 2004. States of Knowledge: The Co-Production of Science and Social Order. London: Routledge.

Jauss, Hans. 1982. *Towards an Aesthetic of Reception*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Jenkins, Henry. 2003. Quentin Tarantino's *Star Wars?* Digital cinema, media convergence, and participatory culture. In *Rethinking Media change: The Aesthetics of Transition*, ed. David Thorburn and Henry Jenkins, 281–312. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Jenkins, Henry. 2006. Confronting the Challenges of Participatory Culture: Media Education for the 21st Century. Building the Field for Digital Media and Learning. Chicago: MacArthur Foundation.

Jenkins, Henry. 2006. *Convergence Culture: Where Old and New Media Collide*. New York: New York University Press.

Jensen, Klaus Bruhn. 1990. Television futures: A social action methodology for studying interpretive communities. *Critical Studies in Mass Communication* 7 (2):129–146.

Jensen, Klaus Bruhn. 2008. Meaning. In *The International Encyclopedia of Communication*, ed. Wolfgang Donsbach, 2803–2807. Oxford: Blackwell.

Jensen, Klaus Bruhn. 2010. *Media Convergence: The Three Degrees of Network, Mass and Interpersonal Communication*. New York: Routledge.

Jensen, Klaus B., and Karl E. Rosengren. 1990. Five traditions in search of the audience. *European Journal of Communication* 5 (2):207–238.

Joerges, Bernward. 1999. Do politics have artefacts? *Social Studies of Science* 29 (3):411–431.

John, Richard R. 1995. *Spreading the News: The American Postal System from Franklin to Morse*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

John, Richard R. 2010. *Network Nation: Inventing American Telecommunications*. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press.

Johnson, Bonnie McDaniel, and Ronald E. Rice. 1984. Reinvention in the innovation process: The case of word processing. In *The New Media: Communication, Research, and Technology*, ed. Ronald E. Rice, 157–183. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.

Johnson, Richard. 1986. What is cultural studies anyway? Social Text 16:38-80.

Jones, Steve. 1999. Cybersociety 2.0: Revisiting Computer-Mediated Community and Technology. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Joyce, James. 1963. Stephen Hero. Norfolk, CT: New Directions.

Joyce, James. 1917. A Portrait of the Artist as a Young Man. London: The Egoist Ltd.

Kalman, Yoram, Gilad Ravid, Daphne Raban, and Sheizaf Rafaeli. 2006. Pauses and response latencies: A chronemic analysis of asynchronous CMC. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* 12 (1). http://jcmc.indiana.edu/vol12/issue1/kalman.html, accessed April 22, 2013.

Kant, Immanuel. 1957. Perpetual Peace. New York: Liberal Arts Press.

Kaptelinin, Victor, and Bonnie Nardi. 2006. Acting with Technology: Activity Theory and Interaction Design. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Katz, Elihu. 2007. The Toronto School and communication research. In *The Toronto School of Communication Theory: Interpretations, Extensions, Applications*, ed. Rita Watson and Menahem Blondheim, 1–5. Toronto: University of Toronto Press; Jerusalem: Hebrew University Magnes Press.

Katz, Elihu, and Paul F. Lazarsfeld. [1955] 2006. *Personal Influence: The Part Played by People in the Flow of Mass Communications,* 2nd ed. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction. (Orig. publ. Free Press.)

Katz, James Everett, and Ronald E. Rice. 2002. *Social Consequences of Internet Use: Access, Involvement, and Interaction*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Keane, John. 1999. Public life in the era of communicative abundance. *Canadian Journal of Communication* 24:165–178.

Kelty, Chris. 2008. *Two Bits: The Cultural Significance of Free Software*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Kelvin, Lord William T. 1900. Presidential Address to the British Association for the Advancement of Science. http://physics.info/news/?p=1406, accessed April 18, 2013.

Kink, Natalie, and Thomas Hess. 2008. Search engines as substitutes for traditional information sources? An investigation of media choice. *Information Society* 24:18–29.

Kirschenbaum, Matthew. 2008. *Mechanisms: New Media and the Forensic Imagination*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Kittler, Friedrich. 1999. *Gramophone, Film, Typewriter*, trans. Geoffrey Winthrop-Young and Michael Wutz. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

Kittler, Friedrich. 2010. Optical Media: Berlin Lectures, 1999, trans. Anthony Enns. Malden, MA: Polity Press.

Kleege, Georgina. 2005. Blindness and visual culture: An eyewitness account. *Journal of Visual Culture* 4:179–190.

Kline, Ronald. 2000. Consumers in the Country: Technology and Social Change in Rural America. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Kline, Stephen, Nick Dyer-Witheford, and Greig de Peuter. 2003. *Digital Play: The Interaction of Technology, Culture, and Marketing.* Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press.

Klinenberg, Eric. 2007. Fighting for Air: The Battle to Control America's Media. 1st ed. New York: Metropolitan Books.

Kling, Rob, ed. 1996. Computerization and Controversy: Value Conflicts and Social Choices. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.

Knorr Cetina, Karin. 1999. Epistemic Cultures: How the Sciences Make Knowledge. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Kollock, Peter. 1999. The economies of online cooperation: Gifts and public goods in cyberspace. In *Communities in Cyberspace*, ed. Marc A. Smith and Peter Kollock, 220–239. New York: Routledge.

Kovach, Bill, and Tom Rosenstiel. 2010. Blur: How to Know What's True in the Age of Information Overload. New York: Bloomsbury.

Kraut, Robert, Charles Steinfield, Alice Chan, Brian Butler, and Anne Hoag. 1998. Coordination and virtualization: The role of electronic networks and personal relationships. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* 3 (4). http://jcmc.indiana.edu/vol3/issue4/kraut.html, accessed April 22, 2013.

Kreiss, Daniel, Megan Finn, and Fred Turner. 2011. The limits of peer production: Some reminders from Max Weber for the network society. *New Media & Society* 13 (2):243–259.

Krippendorff, Klaus. 2004. Content Analysis: An Introduction to Its Methodology. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Langlois, Ganaele. 2013. Participatory culture and the new governance of communication: The paradox of participatory media. *Television & New Media*. 14 (2):91–105.

Lasswell, Harold D. 1927. Propaganda Technique in the World War. New York: Peter Smith.

Lasswell, Harold D. 1948. The structure and function of communication in society. In *The Communication of Ideas*, ed. Lyman Bryson, 37–51. New York: Harper.

Latour, Bruno. 1986. Visualization and cognition: Thinking with eyes and hands. *Knowledge in Society* 6:1–40.

Latour, Bruno. 1987. Science in Action: How to Follow Scientists and Engineers through Society. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Latour, Bruno. 1991. Technology is society made durable. In *A Sociology of Monsters: Essays on Power, Technology and Domination*, ed. John Law, 103–131. London: Routledge.

Latour, Bruno. 1993. We Have Never Been Modern, trans. Catherine Porter. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Latour, Bruno. 1996. *Aramis, or the Love of Technology*, trans. Catherine Porter. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Latour, Bruno. 2004. *Politics of Nature: How to Bring the Sciences into Democracy*, trans. Catherine Porter. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Latour, Bruno. 2004. Why has critique run out of steam? From matters of fact to matters of concern. *Critical Inquiry* 30:225–248.

Latour, Bruno. 2005. *Reassembling the Social: An Introduction to Actor-Network Theory*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Latour, Bruno. 2007. Can we get our materialism back, please? Isis 98 (1):138-142.

Latour, Bruno. 2010. *The Making of Law: An Ethnography of the Conseil d'Etat*. Malden, MA: Polity Press.

Latour, Bruno, and Couze Venn. 2002. Morality and technology: The end of the means. *Theory, Culture & Society* 19 (5–6):247–260.

Law, John. 1987. Technology and heterogeneous engineering: The case of Portuguese expansion. In *The Social Construction of Technological Systems: New Directions in the Sociology and History of Technology*, ed. Wiebe E. Bijker, Thomas P. Hughes, and Trevor Pinch, 111–134. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Law, John. 1991. Introduction: Monsters, machines and sociotechnical relations. In *A Sociology of Monsters: Essays on Power, Technology and Domination*, ed. John Law, 1–23. London: Routledge.

Law, John. 2002. Objects and spaces. Theory, Culture & Society 19:91-105.

Law, John. 2004. After Method: Mess in Social Science Research. London: Routledge.

Law, John. 2008. On sociology and STS. Sociological Review 56 (4):623-649.

Law, John. 2010. The materials of STS. In *The Oxford Handbook of Material Culture Studies*, ed. Dan Hicks and Mary C. Beaudry, 173–188. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Law, John, and John Hassard, eds. 1999. Actor Network Theory and After. Oxford: Blackwell.

Lazarsfeld, Paul F. 1941. Remarks on administrative and critical communication research. *Studies in Philosophy and Social Science* 9:2–16.

Lazarsfeld, Paul F., Bernard Berelson, and Hazel Gaudet. 1944. *The People's Choice: How the Voter Makes up His Mind in a Presidential Campaign*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Lazarsfeld, Paul F., and Robert K. Merton. 1948. Mass communication, popular taste, and organized social action. In *The Communication of Ideas*, ed. Lyman Bryson, 95–118. New York: Harper.

Lazer, David, Alex Pentland, Lada Adamic, Sinan Aral, Albert-Laszlo Barabasi, Devon Brewer, Nicholas Christakis, et al. 2009. Computational social science. *Science* 323:721–723.

Lazzarato, Maurizio. 1996. Immaterial labor. In *Radical Thought in Ital: A Potential Politics*, ed. Paulo Virno and Michael Hardt; trans. Paul Collili and Ed Emory, 133–147. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

League of Professional System Administrators. 2006. "The System Administrators' Code of Ethics." https://lopsa.org/CodeOfEthics, accessed May 10, 2012.

Lehrer, Tom. 1965. "Wernher von Braun." *That Was the Year That Was*. Original live album of satiric songs; now a compact disc. Shout! Factory, Los Angeles.

Leonardi, Paul M., and Stephen R. Barley. 2010. What's under construction here? Social action, materiality, and power in constructivist studies of technology and organizing. *Academy of Management Annals* 4 (1):1–51.

Lessig, Lawrence. 1999. Code, and Other Laws of Cyberspace. NewYork: Basic Books.

Lessig, Lawrence. 2008. Remix: Making Art and Commerce Thrive in the Hybrid Economy. New York: Penguin Press.

Lessig, Lawrence. 2010. "For the love of culture." The New Republic, January 26.

Levinson, Paul. 1997. The Soft Edge: A Natural History and Future of the Information Revolution. London: Routledge.

Levinson, Paul. 2009. New New Media. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Lévi-Strauss, Claude. 1969. The Raw and the Cooked. New York: Harper and Row.

Levy, Frank, and Richard J. Murnane. 2004. *The New Division of Labor: How Computers Are Creating the Next Job Market*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Licklider, Joseph Carl Robnett. 1960. Man-computer symbiosis. *IRE Transactions on Human Factors in Electronics* HFE1:4–11.

Liebes, Tamar, and Elihu Katz. 1993. *The Export of Meaning: Cross-Cultural Readings of Dallas*. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Liestøl, Gunnar, Andrew Morrison, and Terje Rasmussen, eds. 2003. *Digital Media Revisited: Theoretical and Conceptual Innovations in Digital Domains*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Lievrouw, Leah A. 2009. New media, mediation, and communication study. *Information Communication and Society* 12 (3):303–325.

Lievrouw, Leah A. 2011. Alternative and Activist New Media. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Lievrouw, Leah A. 2012. The next decade in Internet time: Ways ahead for new media studies. *Information Communication and Society* 15 (5):1–23.

Lievrouw, Leah, and Sonia Livingstone, eds. 2006. *Handbook of New Media*, updated student ed. London: Sage.

Lievrouw, Leah A., and Sonia Livingstone. 2006. Introduction to the updated student edition. *Handbook of New Media*, updated student ed., ed. Leah A. Lievrouw and Sonia Livingstone, 1–14. London: Sage.

Light, Jennifer. 1999. When computers were women. *Technology and Culture* 40 (3):455–483.

Lippmann, Walter. 1922. Public Opinion. New York: Macmillan.

Liu, Jie, Michel Goraczko, Sean James, Christian Belady, Jiakang Lu, and Kamin Whitehouse. 2011. "The data furnace: Heating up with cloud computing." Presented at the 3rd USENIX Workshop on Hot Topics in Cloud Computing, Portland, OR. http://research.microsoft.com/pubs/150265/heating.pdf, accessed May 11, 2012.

Liu, Lydia H. 2010. *The Freudian Robot: Digital Media and the Future of the Unconscious*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Livingstone, Sonia. 1998. Audience research at the crossroads: The "implied audience" in media theory. *European Journal of Cultural Studies* 1 (2):193–217.

Livingstone, Sonia. 1998. Making Sense of Television: The Psychology of Audience Interpretation. 2nd ed. London: Routledge.

Livingstone, Sonia. 2002. Young People and New Media: Childhood and the Changing Media Environment. London: Sage.

Livingstone, Sonia. 2004. The challenge of changing audiences: Or, what is the audience researcher to do in the age of the Internet? *European Journal of Communication* 19 (1):75–86.

Livingstone, Sonia, ed. 2005. *Audiences and Publics: When Cultural Engagement Matters for the Public Sphere*. Portland, OR: Intellect.

Livingstone, Sonia. 2009. On the mediation of everything. *Journal of Communication* 59 (1):1–18.

Longford, Graham. 2005. Pedagogies of digital citizenship and the politics of code. *Techné: Research in Philosophy and Technology* 9 (1):68–96.

Lovink, Geert. 2008. *Zero Comments: Blogging and Critical Internet Culture*, 83–98. New York: Routledge.

Lundby, Knut, ed. 2009. *Mediatization: Concept, Changes, Consequences*. New York: Peter Lang.

Lunt, Peter, and Sonia Livingstone. 2012. Media Regulation: Governance and the Interests of Citizens and Consumers. London: Sage.

Lyell, Charles. 1832. Principles of Geology. Vol. 1. London: Murray.

Lyon, David. 2003. Surveillance technology and surveillance society. In *Modernity and Technology*, ed. Thomas J. Misa, Philip Brey, and Andrew Feenberg, 161–184. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

MacCallum, Gerald C., Jr. 1967. Negative and positive freedom. *Philosophical Review* 76 (3):312–334.

Mackenzie, Adrian. 2005. The performativity of code: Software and cultures of circulation. *Theory, Culture & Society* 22 (1):71–92.

MacKenzie, Donald. 1991. Notes toward a sociology of supercomputing. In *Social Responses to Large Technical Systems: Control or Anticipation*, ed. Todd R. LaPorte, 159–175. Dordrecht: Kluwer.

MacKenzie, Donald, and Judy Wajcman. 1999. Introductory essay: The social shaping of technology. In *The Social Shaping of Technology*. 2nd ed., ed. Donald MacKenzie and Judy Wajcman, 3–27. Buckingham, UK: Open University Press.

MacLeod, Roy. 1980. On visiting the "moving metropolis": Reflections on the architecture of imperial science. *Historical Records of Australian Science* 5 (3):1–16.

Mager, Astrid. 2012. Algorithmic ideology: How capitalist society shapes search engines. *Information, Communication and Society* 15 (5):769–787.

Malaby, Thomas. M. 2009. *Making Virtual Worlds: Linden Lab and Second Life*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Mangu-Ward, Katherine. 2007. "Wikipedia and beyond." Reason, June.

Manovich, Lev. 1999. Database as symbolic form. *Convergence: The International Journal of Research into New Media Technologies* 5 (2):80–99.

Manovich, Lev. 2001. The Language of New Media. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Mansell, Robin. 2012. *Imagining the Internet: Communication, Innovation, and Governance*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Marcum, Deanna B., ed. 2001. *Development of Digital Libraries: An American Perspective*. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press.

Marcuse, Herbert. 1964. One-Dimensional Man. Boston: Beacon Books.

Markoff, John. 2005. What the Dormouse Said: How the Sixties Counterculture Shaped the Personal Computer Industry. New York: Viking.

Marres, Noortje. 2009. Testing powers of engagement: Green living experiments, the ontological turn, and the undoability of involvement. *European Journal of Social Theory* 12 (1):117–133.

Marres, Noortje, and Javier Lezaun. 2011. Materials and devices of the public: An introduction. *Economy and Society* 40 (4):489–509.

Martens, Hans. 2010. Evaluating media literacy education: Concepts, theories and future directions. *Journal of Media Literacy Education* 2 (1):1–22.

Marvin, Carolyn. 1988. When Old Technologies Were New: Thinking about Electric Communication in the Late Nineteenth Century. New York: Oxford University Press.

Marx, Karl, and Friedrich Engels. [1932] 1970. *The German Ideology, Parts I and III*, ed. C. J. Arthur. New York: Lawrence & Wishart. Originally published by the Marx-Engels Institute.

Marx, Leo. 1964. *The Machine in the Garden: Technology and the Pastoral Ideal in America*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Massey, Doreen. 1994. Power-geometry and a progressive sense of place. In *Mapping the Futures: Local Cultures, Global Change*, ed. Jon Bird, Barry Curtis, Tim Putnam, George Robertson, and Lisa Tickner, 59–69. London: Sage.

Massumi, Brian. 2002. *Parables for the Virtual: Movement, Affect, Sensation*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

May, Larry. 1992. Sharing Responsibility. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

May, Larry, and Stacey Hoffman eds. 1991. *Collective Responsibility: Five Decades of Debate in Theoretical and Applied Ethics*. Savage, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.

Mayer, Vicki. 2011. Below the Line Producers and Production Studies in the New Television Economy. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Mayntz, Renate, and Volker Schneider. 1988. The dynamics of system development in a comparative perspective: Interactive videotex in Germany, France and Britain. In *The Development of Large Technical Systems*, ed. Renate Mayntz and Thomas P. Hughes, 263–298. Boulder, CO: Westview.

McChesney, Robert. 1999. *Rich Media, Poor Democracy: Communication Politics in Dubious Times*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.

McChesney, Robert. 2004. *The Problem of the Media: U.S. Communication Politics in the 21st Century.* New York: Monthly Review Press.

McChesney, Robert. 2008. *The Political Economy of Media: Enduring Issues, Emerging Dilemmas*. New York: Monthly Review Press.

McCullough, Malcolm. 1998. Abstracting Craft: The Practiced Digital Hand. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

McKeon, Richard. 1957. The development and significance of the concept of responsibility. *Revue Internationale de Philosophie* 39 (1):3–32.

McKibben, Bill. 2010. Earth: Making a Life on a Tough New Planet. New York: St. Martin's Griffen.

McLuhan, Marshall. 1962. *The Gutenberg Galaxy: The Making of Typographic Man*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

McLuhan, Marshall. 1968. *Understanding Media: The Extensions of Man.* New York: McGraw-Hill.

McLuhan, Marshall, and Quentin Fiore. [1967] 1996. *The Medium Is the Massage: An Inventory of Effects*. San Francisco: HardWired. First published by Bantam Books.

McWilliams, Brian. 2005. *Spam Kings: The Real Story behind the High-Rolling Hucksters Pushing Porn, Pills, and %\*@)# Enlargements.* Sebastopol, CA: O'Reilly.

Melody, William H., and Robin E. Mansell. 1983. The debate over critical vs. administrative research: Circularity or challenge. *Journal of Communication* 33 (3):103–116.

Messer-Davidow, Ellen, David Shumway, and David Sylvan. 1993. Disciplinary ways of knowing. In *Knowledges: Historical and Critical Studies in Disciplinarity*, ed. Ellen Messer-Davidow, David Shumway, and David Sylvan, 1–21. Charlottesville: University of Virginia Press.

Metzger, Miriam. 2009. Media effects in the era of Internet communication. In *The Sage Handbook of Media Processes and Effects*, ed. Robin Nabi and Mary Beth Oliver, 561–576. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Meyrowitz, Joshua. 1985. *No Sense of Place: The Impact of Electronic Media on Social Behavior*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Meyrowitz, Joshua. 1994. Medium theory. In *Communication Theory Today*, ed. David Crowley and David Mitchell, 50–77. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press, and Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

Mill, John Stuart. 1989. On Liberty and Other Writings, ed. Stefan Collini. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Miller, Daniel. 1987. Material Culture and Mass Consumption. Oxford: Blackwell.

Miller, Daniel. 1998. A Theory of Shopping. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Miller, Daniel. 2005. Materiality. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Miller, David. 1983. Constraints on freedom. Ethics 94 (1):66-86.

Mills, Mara. 2011. Do signals have politics? Inscribing abilities in cochlear implants. In *Oxford Handbook of Sound Studies*, ed. Karin Bijsterveld and Trevor Pinch, 320–346. New York: Oxford University Press.

Mol, Annemarie. 2002. *The Body Multiple: Ontology in Medical Practice*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Monfort, Nick, and Ian Bogost. 2009. Racing the Beam: The Atari Video Computer System. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Morley, David. 1980. *The "Nationwide" Audience: Structure and Decoding*. London: British Film Institute.

Morley, David. 1993. Active audience theory: Pendulums and pitfalls. *Journal of Communication* 43 (4):13–19.

Morley, David. 2011. Decoding diaspora and disjuncture: Arjun Appadurai in dialogue with David Morley. *New Formations* 73:39–51.

Morozov, Evgeny. 2011. "Don't be evil." *The New Republic*, July 13. http://www.newrepublic.com/article/books/magazine/91916/google-schmidt-obama-gates-technocrats, accessed April 22, 2013.

Morris, Merrill, and Christine Ogan. 1996. The Internet as a mass medium. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* 1 (4). http://jcmc.indiana.edu/vol1/issue4/morris.html, accessed April 22, 2013.

Mosco, Vincent. 1982. *Pushbutton Fantasies: Critical Perspectives on Videotex and Information Technology*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex.

Mosco, Vincent. 2009. The Political Economy of Communication. 2nd ed. London: Sage.

Mosco, Vincent, and Catherine McKercher. 2008. *The Laboring of Communication: Will Knowledge Workers of the World Unite?* Lanham, MD: Lexington Books.

Mumford, Lewis. 1934. Technics and Civilization. New York: Harcourt, Brace and Co.

Nakamura, Lisa. 2002. Cybertypes: Race, Ethnicity, and Identity on the Internet. New York: Routledge.

Nardi, Bonnie, ed. 1996. *Context and Consciousness: Activity Theory and Human-Computer Interaction*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Nature. 2007. "A matter of trust." Nature 449:637-638.

Neff, Gina. 2012. Venture Labor: Work and the Burden of Risk in Innovative Industries. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Nelson, Eric. 2005. Liberty: One or two concepts / Liberty: One concept too many? *Political Theory* 33 (1):58–78.

Nelson, Alondra, and Thuy Linh N. Tu, and Alicia Headlam Hines, eds. 2001. *Technicolor: Race, Technology, and Everyday Life.* New York: New York University Press.

Netz, Reviel. 1999. *The Shaping of Deduction in Greek Mathematics: A Study in Cognitive History*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Newhagen, John E, and Sheizaf Rafaeli. 1996. Why communication researchers should study the Internet: A dialogue. *Journal of Communication* 46 (1):4–13.

Nichols, John. 2012. Uprising: How Wisconsin Renewed the Politics of Protest, from Madison to Wall Street. New York: Nation Books.

Niederer, Sabine, and José van Dijck. 2010. Wisdom of the crowd or technicity of content? Wikipedia as a sociotechnical system. *New Media & Society* 12 (8):1368–1387.

Nietzsche, Friedrich. [1887] 1967. On the Genealogy of Morals and Ecce Homo, trans. Walter Kaufmann. New York: Random House.

Nissenbaum, Helen. 2009. *Privacy in Context: Technology, Policy, and the Integrity of Social Life*. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University Press.

Norman, Donald A. 1988. The Design of Everyday Things. New York: Basic Books.

Norman, Donald A. 1999. Affordance, conventions, and design. *Interaction* 6 (3):38–43.

Norris, Pippa. 2001. *Digital Divide: Civic Engagement, Information Poverty, and the Internet Worldwide*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Nowotny, Helga, Peter Scott, and Michael Gibbons. 2001. *Re-Thinking Science: Knowledge and the Public in an Age of Uncertainty*. London: Polity Press.

Nye, David E. 1994. American Technological Sublime. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Ohmann, Richard. 1996. Selling Culture: Magazines, Markets, and Class at the Turn of the Century. New York: Verso.

Orr, Julian E. 1996. *Talking about Machines: An Ethnography of a Modern Job.* Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Oudshoorn, Nelly, and Trevor Pinch, eds. 2003. *How Users Matter: The Co-Construction of Users and Technology*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Packer, Jeremy, and Stephen B. Crofts Wiley, eds. 2011. Communication Matters: Materialist Approaches to Media, Mobility and Networks. Cambridge, UK: Routledge.

Packer, Jeremy, and Stephen B. Crofts Wiley. 2012. Introduction: The materiality of communication. In *Communication Matters: Materialist Approaches to Media, Mobility and Networks*, ed. Jeremy Packer and Stephen B. Crofts Wiley, 3–16. London: Routledge.

Papacharissi, Zizi. 2009. The virtual geographies of social networks: A comparative analysis of Facebook, LinkedIn and ASmallWorld. *New Media & Society* 11 (1&2):199–220.

Papacharissi, Zizi. 2012. Without you, I'm nothing: Performances of the self on Twitter. *International Journal of Communication* 6:1989–2006.

Parikka, Jussi. 2010. *Insect Media: An Archaeology of Animals and Technology, Posthumanities.* Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Parikka, Jussi. 2012. New materialism as media theory: Medianatures and dirty matter. Communication and Critical/Cultural Studies 9 (1):95–100.

Parikka, Jussi. 2012. What Is Media Archaeology? Cambridge, UK and Malden, MA: Polity Press.

Pariser, Eli. 2011. *The Filter Bubble: What the Internet Is Hiding from You.* New York: Penguin Press.

Park, David W., and Jefferson Pooley. 2008. *The History of Media and Communication Research: Contested Memories*. New York: Peter Lang.

Parks, Malcolm, and Kory Floyd. 1996. Making friends in cyberspace. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* 1 (4). http://jcmc.indiana.edu/vol1/issue4/parks.html, accessed April 22, 2013.

Parviainen, Jaana. 2002. Bodily knowledge: Epistemological reflections on dance. *Dance Research Journal* 34 (1):11–26.

Pasquale, Frank. 2009. "Assessing algorithmic authority." *Madisonian.net*, November 18. http://madisonian.net/2009/11/18/assessing-algorithmic-authority/, accessed April 22, 2013.

Pasquale, Frank, and Oren Bracha. 2008. Federal Search Commission? Access, fairness and accountability in the law of search. *Cornell Law Review* 93 (6):1149–1210. http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=1002453, accessed April 22, 2013.

Peck, Jamie. 1996. Work-Place: The Social Regulation of Labor Markets. New York: Guilford Press.

Peirce, Charles S. 1955. *Philosophical Writings of Peirce*. New York: Dover Publications.

Pentzold, Christian. 2010. Imagining the Wikipedia community: What do Wikipedia authors mean when they write about their "community"? *New Media & Society* 13 (5):704–721.

Peters, John Durham. 1999. Speaking into the Air: A History of the Idea of Communication. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Peters, John Durham. 2010. Introduction: Friedrich Kittler's light shows. In Friedrich Kittler, *Optical Media*, trans. Anthony Enns, 1–17. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press. (Orig. publ. as *Optische Medien / Berliner Vorlesung 1999*, Merve Verlag Berlin.)

Peters, John Durham. Forthcoming. Two Cheers for Technological Determinism.

Peterson, Ruth C., and Louis L. Thurstone. 1933. Motion Pictures and the Social Attitudes of Children. Oxford: Macmillan.

Petroski, Henry. 2006. *Success through Failure: The Paradox of Design*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Pettit, Philip. 1997. *Republicanism: A Theory of Freedom and Government*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Pettit, Philip. 2001. A Theory of Freedom. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Pfaffenberger, Brian. 1992. Technological dramas. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 17 (3):282–312.

Pfaffenberger, Brian. 1996. "If I want it, it's okay": Usenet and the (outer) limits of free speech. *Information Society* 12 (4):365–386.

Piaget, Jean. 1969. The Child's Conception of Time. New York: Basic Books.

Piaget, Jean, and Barbel Inhelder. 1967. The Child's Conception of Space. New York: W. W. Norton.

Pinch, Trevor J. 1996. The social construction of technology: A review. In *Technological Change: Methods and Themes in the History of Technology*, ed. Robert Fox, 17–35. Amsterdam: Harwood.

Pinch, Trevor, and Wiebe Bijker. 1984. The social construction of facts and artefacts: Or how the sociology of science and the sociology of technology might benefit each other. *Social Studies of Science* 14 (3):399–411.

Pinch, Trevor, and Wiebe Bijker. 1987. The social construction of facts and artifacts: Or how the sociology of science and the sociology of technology might benefit each other. In *The Social Construction of Technological Systems: New Directions in the Sociology and History of Technology*, ed. Wiebe E. Bijker, Thomas P. Hughes, and Trevor Pinch, 17–50. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Pinch, Trevor, and Richard Swedberg. 2008. *Living in a Material World: Economic Sociology Meets Science and Technology Studies*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

References

Pinch, Trevor, and Frank Trocco. 2002. *Analog Days: The Invention and Impact of the Moog Synthesizer*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Pitkin, Hanna Fenichel. 1988. Are freedom and liberty twins? *Political Theory* 16 (4):523–552.

Plotnick, Rachel. 2012. At the interface: The case of the electric pushbutton, 1880–1923. *Technology and Culture* 53 (4):815–845.

Plotnick, Rachel. 2012. Predicting push-button warfare: U.S. print media and conflict from a distance, 1945–2010. *Media Culture & Society* 34 (6):655–672.

Plotnick, Rachel. 2013. "Touch of a button: Long-distance transmission, communication and control at World's Fairs." *Critical Studies in Media Communication*. 30 (1):52–68.

Poe, Marshall. 2006. "The hive." Atlantic Monthly, September.

Poincaré, Henri. 1908. Science et Méthode. Paris: E. Flammarion.

Porter, David, ed. 1997. Internet Culture. New York; London: Routledge.

Postman, Neil. 1985. Amusing Ourselves to Death. New York: Penguin.

Preda, Alex. 2006. Socio-technical agency in financial markets: The case of the stock ticker. *Social Studies of Science* 36 (5):753–782.

Pruitt, John, and Tamara Adlin, eds. 2006. *The Persona Lifecycle: Keeping People in Mind*. San Francisco: Morgan Kaufmann.

Rabelais, François. [1532] 1964. *Les Cinq Livres de Rabelais*, trans. R. Delbiausse. Paris: Éditions Magnard.

Radway, Janice. 1984. *Reading the Romance: Women, Patriarchy, and Popular Literature*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.

Radway, Janice. 1988. Reception study: Ethnography and the problems of dispersed audiences and nomadic subjects. *Cultural Studies* 2 (3):359–376.

Rappert, Brian. 2003. Technologies, texts and possibilities: A reply to Hutchby. *Sociology* 37 (3):565–580.

Ravetz, Jerome. 1971. Scientific Knowledge and Its Social Problems. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Rawls, John. 1971. *A Theory of Justice*. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.

Reich, Charles. 1970. The Greening of America: How the Youth Revolution Is Trying to Make America Livable. 1st ed. New York: Random House.

Reich, Robert. 1991. *The Work of Nations: Preparing Ourselves for 21st Century Capitalism.* New York: A. A. Knopf.

Rice, Ronald E., and Everett M. Rogers. 1980. Re-invention in the innovation process. *Knowledge* 1:449–514.

Rieder, Bernhard. 2012. "ORDER BY column\_name: The relational database as pervasive cultural form." Presented at The Lived Logics of Database Machinery conference, London.

Rip, Arie, and Johan Schot. 2002. Identifying loci for influencing the dynamics of technological development. In *Shaping Technology, Guiding Policy*, ed. Robin Williams and Knut Sorensen, 155–172. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.

Robins, Kevin, and Frank Webster. 1989. *The Technical Fix: Education, Computers and Industry*. New York: St. Martin's Press.

Robins, Kevin, and Frank Webster. 1996. *Times of the Technoculture: From the Information Society to the Virtual Life.* New York: Routledge.

Robinson, Colin. 2010. "How Amazon kills books and makes us stupid." *The Nation*, July 19.

Robson, Gary. 2000. Alternative Realtime Careers: A Guide to Closed Captioning and CART for Court Reporters. Vienna, VA: National Court Reporters Association.

Rogers, Everett. 1997. History of Communication Study. New York: Free Press.

Rogers, Everett. [1962] 2003. Diffusion of Innovations. 5th ed. New York: Free Press.

Rogers, Everett M., and D. Lawrence Kincaid. 1981. *Communication Networks: Toward a New Paradigm for Research*. New York: Free Press.

Rogers, Jackie Krasas. 2000. *Temps: The Many Faces of the Changing Workplace*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Rogers, Richard A. 1998. Overcoming the objectification of nature in constitutive theories: Toward a transhuman, materialist theory of communication. *Western Journal of Communication* 62 (3):244–272.

Rogers, Richard A. 2009. The Googlization question, and the inculpable engine. In *Deep Search: The Politics of Search Engines beyond Google*, ed. Konrad Becker and Felix Stalder, 173–184. Edison, NJ: Transaction Publishers.

Rose, Nikolas. 1999. *Powers of Freedom: Reframing Political Thought*. Cambridge, UK: University of Cambridge Press.

Ross, Andrew. 1996. Science Wars. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Rossi, Paolo. 2000. *Logic and the Art of Memory: The Quest for a Universal Language*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Rosenzweig, Roy. 2006. Can history be open source? Wikipedia and the future of the past. *Journal of American History* 93 (1):117–146.

Roszak, Theodore. 1969. The Making of a Counter Culture: Reflections on the Technocratic Society and its Youthful Opposition. Garden City, NY: Doubleday.

Roychoudhuri, Onnesha. 2010. "Books after Amazon." Boston Review, December.

Ryfe, David. 2006. The nature of news rules. *Political Communication* 23 (2):203–214.

Sagrans, Erica, ed. 2011. We Are Wisconsin: The Wisconsin Uprising in the Words of the Activists, Writers, and Everyday Wisconsonites Who Made It Happen. Minneapolis, MN: Tasora Books.

Saxenian, AnnaLee. 2006. The New Argonauts: Regional Advantage in a Global Economy. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Schaffner, Ingrid, Matthias Winzen, Geoffrey Batchen, and Hubertus Gassner. 1998. *Deep Storage: Collecting, Storing, and Archiving in Art.* Munich: Prestel.

Schiller, Dan. 1999. Digital Capitalism: Networking the Global Market System. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Schiller, Herbert. 1984. *Information and the Crisis Economy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Schiller, Herbert. 1989. *Culture, Inc.: The Corporate Takeover of Public Expression*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Schneider, Volker. 1991. The governance of large technical systems: The case of tele-communications. In *Social Responses to Large Technical Systems: Control or Anticipation*, ed. Todd R. LaPorte, 19–42. Dordrecht: Kluwer.

Schot, Johan, and Adri Albert de la Bruhèze. 2003. The mediated design of products, consumption and consumers in the twentieth century. In *How Users Matter: The Co-Construction of Users and Technology*, ed. Nelly Oudshoorn and Trevor Pinch, 229–245. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Schramm, Wilbur Lang. 1997. The Beginnings of Communication Study in America: A Personal Memoir. London: Sage.

Schudson, Michael. 1978. Discovering the News: A Social History of American Newspapers. New York: Basic Books.

Schudson, Michael. 1998. The Good Citizen: A History of American Civic Life. New York: Martin Kessler Books.

Schudson, Michael, and Chris Anderson. 2009. Objectivity, professionalism, and truth seeking in journalism. In *The Handbook of Journalism Studies*, ed. Karin Wahl-Jorgensen and Thomas Hanitzsch, 88–101. New York: Routledge.

Schuler, Douglas, and Aki Namioka. 1993. *Participatory Design: Principles and Practices*. London: Routledge.

Sconce, Jeffrey. 2000. *Haunted Media: Electronic Presence from Telegraphy to Television*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Sennett, Richard. 2009. The Craftsman. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Shah, Dhavan, Jack McLeod, and So-Hyang Yoon. 2001. Communication, context and community: An exploration of print, broadcast and Internet influences. *Communication Research* 28:464–506.

Shannon, Claude E., and Warren Weaver. [1949] 1963. *The Mathematical Theory of Communication*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.

Shapin, Steven. 1995. Trust, honesty, and the authority of science. In *Society's Choices: Social and Ethical Decision Making in Biomedicine*, ed. Ruth Ellen Bulger, Elizabeth Meyer Bobby, and Harvey Fineberg, 388–408. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

Shapin, Steven, and Simon Schaffer. 1985. Leviathan and the Air-Pump: Hobbes, Boyle and the Experimental Life. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Shaw, Donald L., and Maxwell E. McCombs. 1977. *The Emergence of American Political Issues: The Agenda-Setting Function of the Press.* St. Paul, MN: West Publishing Company.

Shirky, Clay. 2008. *Here Comes Everybody: The Power of Organizing without Organizations*. New York: Penguin Press.

Siebers, Tobin. 2008. *Disability Theory, Corporealities*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

Siegert, Bernhard. 2011. The map is the territory. Radical Philosophy 169:13–16.

Siles, Ignacio. 2011. From online filter to Web format: Articulating materiality and meaning in the early history of blogs. *Social Studies of Science* 41 (5):737–758.

Siles, Ignacio. 2012. The rise of blogging: Articulation as a dynamic of technological stabilization. *New Media & Society* 14 (5):781–797.

Siles, Ignacio. 2012. Web technologies of the self: The arising of the "blogger" identity. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* 17 (4):408–421.

Siles, Ignacio, and Pablo J. Boczkowski. 2012. At the intersection of content and materiality: A texto-material perspective on agency in the use of media technologies. *Communication Theory* 22 (3):227–249.

Silver, David. 2000. Looking backwards, looking forward: Cyberculture studies 1990–2000. In *Web.Studies: Rewiring Media Studies for the Digital Age*, ed. David Gauntlett, 19–30. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

References

Silver, David, and Adrienne Massanari, eds. 2006. *Critical Cyberculture Studies*. New York: New York University Press.

Silverstone, Roger. 1994. Television and Everyday Life. London: Routledge.

Silverstone, Roger, ed. 2005. *Media, Technology and Everyday Life in Europe*. Aldershot, UK: Ashgate.

Silverstone, Roger. 2005. The sociology of mediation and communication. In *The Sage Handbook of Sociology*, ed. Craig Calhoun, Chris Rojek, and Bryan Turner, 188–207. London: Sage.

Silverstone, Roger. 2006. Domesticating domestication: Reflections on the life of a concept. In *The Domestication of Media and Technology*, ed. Thomas Berker, Maren Hartmann, Yves Punie, and Katie Ward, 229–248. Maidenhead, UK: Open University Press.

Silverstone, Roger, and Eric Hirsch. 1992. Consuming Technologies: Media and Information in Domestic Spaces. London: Routledge.

Simmel, Georg. 1906. The sociology of secrecy and of the secret societies. *American Journal of Sociology* 11:441–498.

Siskin, Clifford, and William Warner. 2010. *This Is Enlightenment*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Sismondo, Sergio. 1993. Some social constructions. *Social Studies of Science* 23 (3):515–523.

Sismondo, Sergio. 2008. Science and technology studies and an engaged program. In *The Handbook of Science and Technology Studies*. 3rd ed., ed. Edward J. Hackett, Olga Amsterdamska, Michael Lynch, and Judy Wajcman, 13–31. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Skinner, Quentin. 1998. *Liberty before Liberalism*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Skinner, Quentin. 2002. A third concept of liberty. *Proceedings of the British Academy* 117:237–268.

Slack, Jennifer Daryl. 1984. Communication Technologies and Society: Conceptions of Causality and the Politics of Technological Intervention. Norwood, NJ: Ablex.

Slack, Jennifer Daryl, and J. Macgregor Wise. 2006. *Culture + Technology: A Primer*. New York: Peter Lang.

Slaughter, Mary M. 1982. *Universal Languages and Scientific Taxonomy in the Seventeenth Century*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Smith, Daniel Jordan. 2007. A Culture of Corruption: Everyday Deception and Popular Discontent in Nigeria. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Smith, Marc A., and Peter Kollock, eds. 1999. *Communities in Cyberspace*. New York: Routledge.

Smythe, Dallas. 2001. On the audience commodity and its work. In *Media and Cultural Studies: KeyWorks*, ed. Meenakshi Durham and Douglas Kellner, 253–279. Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishing.

Sokal, Alan D., and Jean Bricmont. 1999. Fashionable Nonsense: Postmodern Intellectuals' Abuse of Science. New York: Picador.

Solove, Daniel. 2004. *The Digital Person: Technology and Privacy in the Information Age.* New York: New York University Press.

Spigel, Lynn. 1992. *Make Room for TV: Television and the Family Ideal in Postwar America*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Spigel, Lynn. 2010. Housing television: Architectures of the archive. *Communication Review* 13 (1):52–74.

Sproull, L., and Sara Kiesler. 1991. *Connections: New Ways of Working in the Networked Organization*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Stabile, Carol. 1994. Feminism and the Technological Fix. New York: Manchester University Press.

Stalder, Felix, and Christine Mayer. 2009. The second index: Search engines, personalization and surveillance. In *Deep Search: The Politics of Search beyond Google*, ed. Konrad Becker and Felix Stalder, 98–115. London: Transaction Publishers.

Standage, Tom. 1998. The Victorian Internet: The Remarkable Story of the Telegraph and the Nineteenth Century's On-line Pioneers. New York: Walker and Co.

Star, Susan Leigh. 1999. The ethnography of infrastructure. *American Behavioral Scientist* 43 (3):377–391.

Star, Susan Leigh, and Geoffrey C. Bowker. 2006. How to infrastructure. In *Handbook of New Media*, updated student ed., ed. Leah A. Lievrouw and Sonia Livingstone, 230–245. London: Sage.

Star, Susan Leigh, and James R. Griesemer. 1989. Institutional ecology, "translations" and boundary objects: Amateurs and professionals in Berkeley's Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, 1907–39. *Social Studies of Science* 19 (3):387–420.

Star, Susan Leigh, and Anslem Strauss. 1999. Layers of silence, arenas of voice: The ecology of visible and invisible work. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work* 8:9–30.

Starr, Paul. 2004. The Creation of the Media: Political Origins of Modern Communications. New York: Basic Books.

Steiner, Hillel. 1994. An Essay on Rights. Oxford: Blackwell.

Sterne, Jonathan. 2003. *The Audible Past: Cultural Origins of Sound Reproduction*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Sterne, Jonathan. 2012. MP3: The Meaning of a Format. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Stone, Allucquere R. 1995. *The War of Desire and Technology at the Close of the Mechanical Age.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Strate, Lance. 2004. A media ecology review. *Communication Research Trends* 23 (2):1–48.

Streeter, Thomas. 2011. *The Net Effect: Romanticism, Capitalism, and the Internet*. New York: New York University Press.

Striphas, Theodore G. 2009. *The Late Age of Print: Everyday Book Culture from Consumerism to Control*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Striphas, Theodore G. 2010. "How to have culture in an algorithmic age." *Differences and Repetitions*, July 13. http://www.diffandrep.org/2010/07/13/how-to-have-culture-in-an-algorithmic-age/, accessed April 22, 2013.

Suchman, Lucy. 1987. *Plans and Situated Actions: The Problem of Machine-Human Communication*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Suchman, Lucy. 2006. *Human-Machine Refigurations: Plans and Situated Actions*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Suchman, Lucy. 2011. Anthropological relocations and the limits of design. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 40:1–18.

Suchman, Lucy. 2012. Configuration. In *Inventive Methods: The Happening of the Social*, ed. C. Lury and N. Wakeford, 48–60. London: Routledge.

Suchman, Lucy. Forthcoming. Situational awareness: Deadly bioconvergence at the bouldaries of bodies and machines. *Mediatropes*.

Suchman, Lucy, Randall Trigg, and Jeanette Blomberg. 2002. Working artefacts: Ethnomethods of the prototype. *British Journal of Sociology* 53:163–179.

Sunstein, Cass R. 2001. Republic.com 2.0. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Swanson, Don R. 1986. Undiscovered public knowledge. *Library Quarterly* 56 (2):103–118.

Swiss, Thomas, and Andrew Herman. 2000. *The World Wide Web and Contemporary Cultural Theory*. New York: Routledge.

Taylor, Charles. 1979. What's wrong with negative liberty. In *The Idea of Freedom: Essays in Honor of Isaiah Berlin*, ed. Alan Ryan, 175–193. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Taylor, T. L. 2006. *Play Between Worlds: Exploring Online Game Culture*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Terranova, Tiziana. 2000. Free labor: Producing culture for the digital economy. *Social Text* 18 (2):33–58.

Terranova, Tiziana. 2004. Network Culture: Politics for the Information Age. London: Pluto Press.

Thompson, Emily. 2002. The Soundscape of Modernity: Architectural Acoustics and the Culture of Listening in America, 1900–1930. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Thompson, John. 2005. The new visibility. *Theory, Culture & Society* 22 (6):31–51.

Thumim, Nancy. 2012. Self Representation and Digital Culture. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.

Thurlow, Crispin, Laura Lengel, and Alice Tomic. 2004. *Computer-Mediated Communication: Social Interaction and the Internet*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Tolstoy, Leo. 1886. *Anna Karenina*, trans. Nathan Haskell Dole. New York: Thomas Y. Crowell and Co.

Tort, Patrick. 1989. La Raison Classificatoire: Les Complexes Discursifs;—Quinze Etudes. Paris: Aubier.

Tuchman, Gaye. 1972. Objectivity as strategic ritual: An examination of newsmen's notions of objectivity. *American Journal of Sociology* 77 (4):660–679.

Tufte, Edward R. 2006. *The Cognitive Style of PowerPoint: Pitching out Corrupts Within.* Cheshire, CT: Graphics Press.

Turkle, Sherry. 2007. Evocative Objects: Things We Think With. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Turner, Fred. 2005. Where the counterculture met the new economy: The WELL and the origins of virtual community. *Technology and Culture* 46 (3):485–512.

Turner, Fred. 2006. From Counterculture to Cyberculture: Stewart Brand, the Whole Earth Network, and the Rise of Digital Utopianism. Chicago: University Of Chicago Press.

Turner, Fred. 2009. Burning Man at Google: A cultural infrastructure for new media production. *New Media & Society* 11 (1–2):73–94.

Turner, Fred. 2012. *The Family of Man* and the politics of attention in cold war America. *Public Culture* 24 (1):55–84.

Turow, Joseph. 2012. *The Daily You: How the New Advertising Industry Is Defining Your Identity and Your Worth.* New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Tushnet, Rebecca. 2008. Power without responsibility: Intermediaries and the First Amendment. *George Washington Law Review* 76 (4):986–1016.

Tykociner, Joseph T. 1971. Outline of Zetetics. Philadelphia: Dorrance.

Vaidhyanathan, Siva. 2001. Copyrights and Copywrongs: The Rise of Intellectual Property and How It Threatens Creativity. New York: New York University Press.

Vaidhyanathan, Siva. 2011. The Googlization of Everything (And Why We Should Worry). Berkeley: University of California Press.

Valente, Thomas W., and Everett M. Rogers. 1995. The origins and development of the diffusion of innovations paradigm as an example of scientific growth. *Science Communication* 16 (3):242–273.

van Couvering, Elizabeth. 2007. Is relevance relevant? Market, science, and war: Discourses of search engine quality. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* 12 (3). http://jcmc.indiana.edu/vol12/issue3/vancouvering.html, accessed April 22, 2013.

van Couvering, Elizabeth. 2010. "Search engine bias: The structuration of traffic on the World Wide Web." PhD diss., London School of Economics and Political Science.

van Dijck, José, and David Nieborg. 2009. Wikinomics and its discontents: A critical analysis of Web 2.0 business manifestos. *New Media & Society* 11 (5):855–874.

van Zoonen, Liesbet. 2002. Gendering the internet. European Journal of Communication 17 (1):5–23.

Varnelis, Kazys, ed. 2008. Networked Publics. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Vaughan, Diane. 1997. *The Challenger Launch Decision: Risky Technology, Culture, and Deviance at NASA*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Viveiros de Castro, Eduardo. 1992. From the Enemy's Point of View: Humanity and Divinity in an Amazonian Society. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Viveiros de Castro, Eduardo. 1998. Cosmological deixis and Amerindian perspectivalism. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 4 (3):469–488.

Von Hayek, Friedrich. A. 1960. *The Constitution of Liberty*. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.

VoxAnon. 2012. "Constitution of Voxanon @ irc.VoxAnon.net." http://anoninsiders.net/voxanon-constitution-707/, accessed April 16, 2013.

Vrba, Elisabeth S. 1994. An hypothesis of heterochrony in response to climatic cooling and its relevance to early hominid evolution. In *Integrative Paths to the Past: Paleoanthropological Advances in Honor of F. Clark Howell*, ed. R. L. Ciochon and R. S. Corruccini, 345–376. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Vygotsky, Lev. 1962. Thought and Language. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Wajcman, Judy, and Paul Jones. 2012. Border communication: Media sociology and STS. *Media Culture & Society* 34 (6):673–690.

Waldrop, M. Mitchell. 2001. *The Dream Machine: J. C. R. Licklider and the Revolution That Made Computing Personal*. New York: Viking.

Walther, Joseph. 2011. Theories of computer-mediated communication and interpersonal relations. In *The Handbook of Interpersonal Communication*. 4th ed., ed. Mark Knapp and John Daly, 443–479. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Walther, Joseph, Geri Gay, and Jeffrey Hancock. 2005. How do communication and technology researchers study the Internet? *Journal of Communication* 55 (3):632–657.

Warner, Michael. 2002. Publics and counterpublics. Public Culture 14 (1):49–90.

Warner, William. 2005. Communicating liberty: The newspapers of the British Empire as a matrix for the American Revolution. [English Literary History] *ELH* 72 (2):339–361.

Warschauer, Mark. 2003. *Technology and Social Inclusion: Rethinking the Digital Divide*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Wasko, Janet. 1982. Movies and Money: Financing the American Film Industry. Norwood, NJ: Ablex.

Wasko, Janet. 2001. *Understanding Disney: The Manufacture of Fantasy*. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Wasser, Frederick, and Harris Breslow. 2005. He didn't do it: Some cautions on the current McLuhan revival. In *The Legacy of McLuhan*, ed. Lance Strate and Edward Wachtel, 261–266. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.

Watkins, S. Craig. 2009. The Young and the Digital: What the Migration to Social-Network Sites, Games, and Anytime, Anywhere Media Means for Our Future. Boston: Beacon Press.

Weber, Steven. 2004. *The Success of Open Source*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Wellman, Barry, and Stephen D. Berkowitz. 1988. Social Structures: A Network Approach. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Wexelblat, Richard. 1981. *History of Programming Languages*. New York: Academic Press.

Wheeler, James O., Yuko Aoyama, and Barney L. Warf, eds. 2000. *Cities in the Tele-communications Age: The Fracturing of Geographies*. New York: Routledge.

Williams, Raymond. 1974. *Television: Technology and Cultural Form*. London: Fontana.

References

307

Winner, Langdon. 1977. Autonomous Technology: Technics-out-of-Control as a Theme in Political Thought. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press,

Winner, Langdon. 1980. Do artifacts have politics? Daedalus 109 (1):121-136.

Winner, Langdon. 2001. Where technological determinism went. In Visions of STS: Counterpoints in Science, Technology, and Society Studies, ed. Stephen H. Cutcliffe and Carl Mitcham, 11–18. Albany: State University of New York Press.

Winthrop-Young, Geoffrey. 2011. Kittler and the Media. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Woolgar, Steve. 1991. Configuring the user: The case of usability trials. In A Sociology of Monsters: Essays on Power, Technology and Domination, ed. John Law, 57-99. London: Routledge.

Woolgar, Steve. 1996. Technologies as cultural artifacts. In Information and Communication Technologies: Visions and Realities, ed. William H. Dutton, 87-102. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Woolgar, Steve. 2002. Virtual Society? Technology, Cyberbole, Reality. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Woolgar, Steve. 2004. What happened to provocation in science and technology studies? History and Technology 20 (4):339-349.

Woolgar, Steve, and Geoff Cooper. 1999. Do artefacts have ambivalence? Moses' bridges, Winner's bridges, and other urban legends in S&TS. Social Studies of Science 29 (3):433-449.

Wouters, Paul, Katie Vann, Andrea Scharnhorts, Matt Ratto, Ilina Hellsten, Jenny Fry, and Anne Beaulieu [The Virtual Knowledge Studio]. 2008. Messy shapes of knowledge: STS explores informatization, new media, and academic work. In The Handbook of Science and Technology Studies, 3rd ed., ed. Edward J. Hackett, Olga Amsterdamska, Michael Lynch, and Judy Wajcman, 319-351. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Wright, Alex. 2007. Glut: Mastering Information through the Ages. Washington, DC: Joseph Henry Press.

Wu, Tim. 2003. Network neutrality, broadband discrimination. Journal on Telecommunications & High Technology Law 2:141-176.

Wu, Tim. 2004. The broadband debate: A user's guide. Journal on Telecommunications & High Technology Law 3:69-96.

Wyatt, Sally. 2008. Technological determinism is dead: long live technological determinism. In The Handbook of Science and Technology Studies. 3rd ed., ed. Edward J. Hackett, Olga Amsterdamska, Michael Lynch, and Judy Wajcman, 165-180. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Yates, Frances. 1966. The Art of Memory. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Yates, JoAnne. 2005. Structuring the Information Age: Life Insurance and Technology in the Twentieth Century. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Yates, Michael D. 2012. Wisconsin Uprising: Labor Fights Back. New York: Monthly Review Press.

Yau, Shing-Tung, and Steve J. Nadis. 2010. The Shape of Inner Space: String Theory and the Geometry of the Universe's Hidden Dimensions. New York: Basic Books.

Zielinski, Siegfried. 1996. "Media archaeology." CTheory. Special issue ga111. http:// www.ctheory.net/articles.aspx?id=42, accessed April 22, 2013.

Zielinski, Siegfried. 2008. Deep Time of the Media: Toward an Archaeology of Hearing and Seeing by Technical Means, trans. Gloria Custance. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Zimmer, Michael. May 9, 2007. "Google: 'Did you mean: "He invented"?'" http:// www.michaelzimmer.org/2007/05/09/google-did-you-mean-he-invented/, accessed April 22, 2013.

Zimmer, Michael. 2008. The externalities of search 2.0: The emerging privacy threats when the drive for the perfect search engine meets Web 2.0. First Monday 13 (3). http://firstmonday.org/ojs/index.php/fm/article/view/2136/1944, accessed April 22, 2013.

Zittrain, Jonathan. 2008. The Future of the Internet—And How to Stop It. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Peter D. Norton, Fighting Traffic: The Dawn of the Motor Age in the American City Helga Nowotny, Insatiable Curiosity: Innovation in a Fragile Future

Ruth Oldenziel and Karin Zachmann, editors, Cold War Kitchen: Americanization, Technology, and European Users

Nelly Oudshoorn and Trevor Pinch, editors, How Users Matter: The Co-Construction of Users and Technology

Shobita Parthasarathy, Building Genetic Medicine: Breast Cancer, Technology, and the Comparative Politics of Health Care

Trevor Pinch and Richard Swedberg, editors, Living in a Material World: Economic Sociology Meets Science and Technology Studies

Paul Rosen, Framing Production: Technology, Culture, and Change in the British Bicycle Industry

Richard Rottenburg, Far-Fetched Facts: A Parable of Development Aid

Susanne K. Schmidt and Raymund Werle, Coordinating Technology: Studies in the International Standardization of Telecommunications

Wesley Shrum, Joel Genuth, and Ivan Chompalov, Structures of Scientific Collaboration Rebecca Slayton, Arguments that Count: Physics, Computing, and Missile Defense, 1949–2011

Chikako Takeshita, The Global Politics of the IUD: How Science Constructs Contraceptive Users and Women's Bodies

Charis Thompson, Making Parents: The Ontological Chorcography of Reproductive Technology

Dominique Vinck, editor, Everyday Engineering: An Ethnography of Design and Innovation

## Media Technologies

Essays on Communication, Materiality, and Society

edited by Tarleton Gillespie, Pablo J. Boczkowski, and Kirsten A. Foot

The MIT Press

Cambridge, Massachusetts

# © 2014 Massachusetts Institute of Technology

electronic or mechanical means (including photocopying, recording, or informa-All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form by any tion storage and retrieval) without permission in writing from the publisher.

sales promotional use. For information, please email special\_sales@mitpress.mit.edu. MIT Press books may be purchased at special quantity discounts for business or

This book was set in Stone by the MIT Press. Printed and bound in the United

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Tarleton Gillespie, Pablo J. Boczkowski, and Kirsten A. Foot. Media technologies: essays on communication, materiality, and society / edited by

p. cm. — (Inside technology)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-262-52537-4 (pbk.: alk. paper)

editor of compilation. of compilation. II. Boczkowski, Pablo J., editor of compilation. III. Foot, Kirsten A., 1. Digital media. 2. Communication and technology. I. Gillespie, Tarleton, editor

P96.T42M43 2014

302.23'1—dc23

### Contents

Editors' Acknowledgments xiii About the Contributors ix

## 1 Introduction 1

Tarleton Gillespie, Pablo J. Boczkowski, and Kirsten A. Foot

- 1 The Materiality of Mediated Knowledge and Expression
- Unfinished Project 21 2 Materiality and Media in Communication and Technology Studies: An

Leah A. Lievrouw

Content 53 integrating Scholarship on Production, Consumption, Materiality, and 3 Steps Toward Cosmopolitanism in the Study of Media Technologies:

Pablo J. Boczkowski and Ignacio Siles

4 Closer to the Metal 77

Finn Brunton and Gabriella Coleman

Geoffrey C. Bowker 5 Emerging Configurations of Knowledge Expression 99

6 "What Do We Want?" "Materiality!" "When Do We Want It?"

7 Mediations and Their Others 129

Jonathan Sterne "Now!" 119

Lucy Suchman